Insecticidal activities of essential oil of *Callistemon viminalis* applied as fumigant and powder against two bruchids

A. F. Ndomo^{1,2}, L. A. Tapondjou¹, L. T. Ngamo³ & T. Hance⁴

1 Laboratory of Applied and Environmental Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon

2 Laboratory of Nutrition, Food Sciences and Medicinal Plants Biochemistry, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Dschang, Dschang, Cameroon

3 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Ngaoundéré, Ngaoundéré, Cameroon

4 Research Centre on the Biodiversity, Louvain-la Neuve, Belgium

Keywords

Bruchids, Callistemon viminalis, clay powder, essential oil, fumigation, persistence

Correspondence

Léon Azefack Tapondjou (corresponding author), Laboratory of Applied and Environmental Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Dschang, P.O. Box 183, Dschang, Cameroon. E-mail: tapondjou2001@yahoo.fr

Received: May 4, 2009; accepted: October 16, 2009

doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0418.2009.01475.x

Abstract

The fumigant and contact toxicity of essential oil (EO) extracted from the leaves of *Callistemon viminalis* and its aromatized clay powder (ACP) was evaluated against adults of Acanthoscelides obtectus and Callosobruchus maculatus (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). The results obtained for fumigation assays showed that C. maculatus seems to be more susceptible $(LC_{50} = 0.019 \ \mu l/cm^3)$ to the vapours of the essential oil than A. obtectus $(LC_{50} = 0.011 \ \mu l/cm^3)$ after 12 h exposure. On the other hand, A. obtec*tus* seems to be more susceptible $(LD_{50} = 0.133 \ \mu l/g)$ to the essential oil applied by contact on grains than C. maculatus (LD₅₀ = 0.170 μ l/g) after 2 days exposure. The ACP was also very toxic towards the adults of A. obtectus (LD₅₀ = 0.100 μ l/g) and C. maculatus (LD₅₀ = 0.098 μ l/g) by contact on grains. At the doses of 0.133 μ /g and 0.266 μ /g, mortalities caused by ACP on grains were higher than those caused by the same dose of EO against the two bruchids. It is also established that both the EO and the ACP caused higher inhibition of F_1 progeny production of A. obtectus than that of C. maculatus. The loss of insecticidal activity of the two materials in the course of time has been observed; however, the toxicity of the ACP was more persistent than that of the oil in the course of time when applied on grains. These results suggest that EO from the leaves of C. viminalis can be used as fumigant agent against A. obtectus and C. maculatus. In addition, it could be advisable to use an adsorbent mineral material as carrier of this EO for the prolongation of its insecticidal activity in the course of time.

Introduction

During storage, foods are currently destroyed by insects and other pests. In Cameroon, these insects of stored grains are mostly *Sitophilus zeamais* and *S. oryzae* (Coloptera: Curculionidae), *Acanthoscelides obtectus* and *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) (Ngamo et al. 2001). For example, it has been estimated that the common pulse weevil

Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) alone caused an annual losses of 24% of stored pulses in Nigeria (Caswell 1968); the main pest of stored common beans is *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Says) which starts infestation in the field in dry pods and continues in stored common beans to cause both quantitative and qualitative damage to grain (Kumar 1991). Protection of agricultural stored products against insect pests is of utmost importance to secure a continuous and safe food supply all over the world. Conventional

treatments have been used for this purpose, but nowadays, other ecologically sound methods based on the use of natural compounds are needed for an integrated approach to pest management. Many plants and minerals have been widely used in the past to protect stored products against damage by insect infestation (Golob and Webley 1980). Nowadays, the plants are tested in the laboratories in the form of powder, vegetable oil, essential oil (EO), aqueous and organic extracts (Boeke et al. 2004).

There are several scientific reports that describe various biological effects of EO such as insecticidal properties, repellence, fumigant activity and antifeedants, antifungal and bactericidal properties (Buchbauer 2000; Lahlou 2004). Oils may affect some biological parameters of insects such as growth rate, life span and the reproduction (Boeke et al. 2004). Mineral powders and sand have also been used successfully to control insect populations in stored products (Subramanyam et al. 1994). Finely powdery clay is recognized by some traditional societies for its ability to control insect populations (Ramaswamy et al. 1995).

The use of such powders, aromatized with EO has a twofold advantage because of the combined effects of mechanism action, blocking the insect's articulation, filling intergranular spaces at high dosages, and chemical action, acting primarily on granular cells (Ramaswamy et al. 1995).

Callistemon viminalis belonging to Myrtaceae family is an ornamental plant that is found in several areas with the exception of localities extremely cold and dry. It is also found along the streets and in the botanical gardens (Anonymous, 1992). In Cameroon and particularly in the town of Dschang (West Cameroon), it is found along major roads and near homes. The EO from leaves of C. viminalis presented anthelmenthic effects while the aqueous extracts of flowers and leaves have antibacterial effects against gram-positive bacteria (Srivastava et al. 2003). In our continuous search for new strategies to protect stored grains against insect infestation by using biopesticides (Tapondjou et al. 2003, 2005; Ndomo et al. 2008), the EO from the leaves of C. viminalis was evaluated for its insecticidal activities against A. obtectus and C. maculatus in bean and cowpea grains respectively.

The goal of this study was to assess the effect of applying EO from the leaves of this plant as a fumigant and applying by contact the aromatized clay powder (ACP) with the same oil to protect bean and cowpea grains against *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* infestation respectively. The evaluation of the kinetic loss of efficacy of the EO and its ACP against *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* adults in the course of time was also performed.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

The leaves of *C. viminalis* were collected in November 2005 in Dschang city (altitude of about 1420 m, 5°26 latitude North and 10°26 longitude East) located in the Menoua division of the Western high-lands of Cameroon. The identity of the plant was confirmed in the Plant Biology Department of the University of Dschang. The plant materials were air dried at room temperature $(23 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$ for 3 days before being submitted to hydrodistillation using Clevenger apparatus type for 6 h. EO collected was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and weighed yielding 0.89% (W/W) of pale yellow oil.

Chemical analysis of the oil was achieved by GC-MS on a HP 5890 II gas chromatograph coupled to a HP 5972 mass selective spectrometer using a DB wax fused silica capillary column. Its chemical constituents were identified as: 1.8-Cineole (58.49%), 3-Carene (8.61%), α -terpinol (7.83%), limonene (7.01%), β -linalool (1%), β -pinene (0.93%), 4-terpinenol (0.79%), 2-methylpropylisobutyrate (0.44%), α -pinene (0.38%), ocimenol (0.18%), eugenol (0.17%), Isoamylacetate (0.12%) and ocimene (0.81%).

Insects

Adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* were cultured on dried whole bean and cowpea grains respectively according to the method used by Tapondjou et al. (2005). Cultures were then maintained in 5 l glass jars held in a controlled temperature chamber at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C, relative humidity of $75 \pm 5\%$ and photoperiod of LD 12 : 12 h (light : dark) (Tapondjou et al. 2005). To assure high oviposition, 20 days after infestation of the glass jars with adult insects, all of them (alive and dead) were sieved out to enable from the 30th day emergence of new individuals that could be classified as same-age progeny. Unsexed adults of 1-day old were used for toxicity tests.

Preparation of aromatized clay powder

The mineral material used was fine white clay of smectitic nature and montmorillionitte type, named Sabga. This clay, sampled at Bambili in the locality of Bamenda (North-West Division of Cameroon), was initially investigated by Tonle (2004) for its chemical and mineralogical composition. The powder was obtained by crushing the dry clay in a mortar (Coors USA 60316) and by sieving through a mesh size of 106 μ m (Arthor. H. Thomas).

Preliminary tests were carried out to choose the non-toxic quantity of clay powder to insects and which must be able to remain in powder form but not paste after admixture with tested volumes of EO. Four different samples of ACP were prepared by mixing separately 2, 4, 8 and 16 μ l of EO with 0.05 g of clay powder. The mixtures were manually stirred for 5 min to ensure homogenous spread of the EO over the clay powder.

Biological tests

Experimental conditions

Fumigation, contact toxicity and persistence of the EO and its ACP were carried out in glass jars of 270 cm³ volume placed in a chamber conditioned at a photoperiod of LD 12 : 12 h (light : dark) at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and $75 \pm 5\%$ r.h.

Insecticidal efficiency of EO towards adults of A. obtectus and C. maculatus

To test the efficiency of EO towards the insects, contact toxicity on grains was evaluated as earlier described by Tapondjou et al. (2003). The contact toxicity of EO against adults of A. obtectus and C. maculatus were carried out in glass jars containing bean and cowpea grains, respectively. Test solutions were obtained by diluting 2, 4, 8 and 16 µl of EO of C. viminalis in 1 ml of acetone. Sixty grams samples of grains contained in 270 cm³ glass jars were mixed with each of the previous test solutions by tumbling for 5 min to ensure homogenous spread of the material over the surface of the grains. In the control jars the grains were treated only with acetone (1 ml) and all the jars were manually stirred for 5 min and kept open during 15 min to allow the complete evaporation of solvent. The grains were then infested with 1 day old unsexed adult insects (25 per jar) and each jar was covered with fine cloth porous maintained with rubber bands. Each treatment was replicated four times. Mortality counts were made daily up to 4 days.

Fumigation of adult insects with EO

Knowing that by contact toxicity the EO can act both by contact and/or fumigation, the fumigant effect of the EO was checked through to evaluation of the toxicity of its vapours to adult's stage of each of the two insects. As such, airtight glass jars of 270 cm³ volume with screwed metallic cap were

used as exposure chambers. Twenty unsexed adult insects of 1-day old were introduced in each jar. A small piece of filter paper (Whatman No. 1) of 4 cm^2 was attached using a cotton wire hung in the half height of the undersurface cap to serve as an oil diffuser on which varying volumes (2, 4, 8 and 16 μ l) of EO were applied. After diffusion of EO, the supposed concentrations occurred were 0.007, 0.015, 0.029 and 0.059 μ l/cm³ respectively; these concentrations were obtained by dividing each quantity of essential oil by the inner volume of the glass jar (270 cm³). Control treatment consisted of an identical apparatus but without EO. Four replications were carried out for each set of treatment. The number of dead insects was counted after 6, 12, 18 and 24 h. Knocked-down adults were regarded as alive if they showed continued movement of their appendages.

Insecticidal efficiency of ACP towards adults of A. obtectus and C. maculatus

Each sample of ACP previously prepared was introduced into a glass jar containing 60 g of grains and the jars were manually stirred so that all the grains were uniformly coated, thus leading to the following doses of 0.033, 0.066, 0.133 and 0.266 μ l/g (volume of EO per quantity of grains), respectively. In the control jar, the grains were treated with non-ACP. Twenty-five 1-day-old adult insects of mixed sex were introduced into each jar. Four replications were carried out for each dose. Each jar was covered with fine cloth porous maintained using rubber bands of fastener. The number of dead individuals was counted daily up to 4 days.

Effect of EO and ACP on F_1 progeny production

After counting mortalities in the above contact toxicity tests on the fourth day, the remaining living adult insects were removed and the glass jars were kept under the same experimental conditions until the emergency of F_1 progeny adults occurred. Based on the life cycle of the untreated insects (Delobel and Tran 1993), the counting period of F_1 progeny (38 days after treatment) was established so as to avoid an overlap of generations. Percentage reduction in adult emergence or inhibition rate (% IR) was calculated as:

$$\% IR = \frac{Cn - Tn}{Cn} \times 100$$

Where Cn is the number of newly emerged insects in the untreated (control) jar and Tn the number of insects in the treated jar.

Evaluation of the persistence of the biological activity of EO towards adults of A. obtectus and C. maculatus in the course of time

Two doses were used to evaluate the persistence of the activity of the EO on grains towards the two insects: the dose having induced the highest mortality of insects in each case (0.266 μ l/g of grain) and the dose corresponding to the LD₅₀ value in each case (0.133 µl/g of grain for A. obtectus and 0.170 µl/ g of grain for C. maculatus). The volume of oil corresponding to each of these doses (16 and 8 μ l for A. obtectus and, 16 and 10.5 μ l for *C. maculatus*) was diluted in 1 ml of acetone and introduced into a glass jar containing 60 g of grains. In the control jar the grains were treated only with acetone (1 ml) and all the jars were manually stirred for 5 min and kept open during 20 min to allow the complete evaporation of solvent. Thereafter, all the jars were covered with a piece of fine cloth porous maintained using rubber band to allow the ventilation. Thirtysix jars were prepared for each of the three treatments (two doses and one control) and divided into nine groups of four jars each. For each treatment the four jars of the nine different groups were gradually infested by 25 one-day-old adult insects after 0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48 h and the dead insects in each group were counted 1 day after infestation.

Evaluation of the persistence of the activity of ACP towards adults of A. obtectus *and* C. maculatus *in the course of time*

The same conditions as previously described for the EO were used to carry out this experiment except that the previous volumes of oil (8 and 16 μ l for *A. obtectus* and 10.5 and 16 μ l for *C. maculatus*) were directly mixed with 0.05 g of clay powder and the control was made with clay powder only (0.05 g).

Data analysis

All the data concerning mortality were corrected by using Abbott's formula (Abbott 1925). Data obtained from each dose–response bioassay were subjected to probit analysis in which probit-transformed mortality was regressed against log-transformed dose; LC_{50}/LD_{50} values were generated (Finney 1971). One-way analysis of variance was performed to compare the effect of dose tested for each exposure period. Means were separated using a subsequent Waller–Duncan and *t* Student (Steel and Torrie 1980). All these data analysis were performed using SPSS 2000 program.

Results

Insecticidal efficiency of EO towards adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*

Figure 1a, b show the percentage mortalities of A. obtectus and C. maculatus respectively in grains treated with different doses of the EO of C. viminalis after different intervals of times. It appears that there was a dose-dependant increase in mortality of adult insects treated with the EO; the LD₅₀ values after 2 days exposure were 0.133 μ l/g and 0.170 μ l/g towards A. obtectus and C. maculatus respectively. In addition, there was a significant difference (P < 0.05) between the mortalities induced by the highest doses (0.133 and 0.266 μ l/g of grain) and the lowest ones (0.033 and 0.066 μ l/g of grain) after 4 days exposure. As shown by the LD₅₀ values and by general observation of the two figures A. obtectus seems to be more susceptible to the EO of C. viminalis than C. maculatus.

Fumigation of adult insects with EO

After 12 h of fumigation, mortality of adult insects in each case was found to increase as the EO concentration increased (table 1). At the highest concentration (0.059 μ l/cm³), 83.7% mortality was



Fig. 1 (a) Effect of different doses of EO of *Callistemon viminalis* on mortality of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* adults. (b) Effect of different doses of EO of *C. viminalis* on mortality of *Callosobruchus maculatus* adults.

Exposure time (h)		Concentration (μ l/cm ³ surface of jar)					
	Insects	0.000	0.007	0.015	0.029	0.059	
6	Acanthoscelides obtectus	$0.0\pm0.0^{\text{a}}$	$2.5\pm2.8^{\rm a}$	2.5 ± 2.8^a	35.0 ± 16.8^{b}	$58.7\pm6.3^{\rm c}$	
	Callosobruchus maculatus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	5.0 ± 0.0^{a}	21.3 ± 4.7^{b}	$68.7\pm2.5^{\rm c}$	88.7 ± 13.1^{d}	
12	A. obtectus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	$21.3\pm8.5^{\text{b}}$	37.5 ± 8.6^{c}	63.7 ± 13.1^{d}	83.7 ± 6.3^{e}	
	C. maculatus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	25.0 ± 12.2^{b}	72.5 ± 9.6^{c}	92.5 ± 2.8^{d}	100.0 ± 0.0^d	
18	A. obtectus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	37.5 ± 10.4^{b}	$68.7 \pm 16.0^{\circ}$	100.0 ± 0.0^d	100.0 ± 0.0^d	
	C. maculatus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	$32.5\pm8.6^{\text{b}}$	91.3 ± 6.3^{c}	98.7 ± 2.5^{d}	100.0 ± 0.0^d	
24	A. obtectus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	$51.3\pm22.8^{\text{b}}$	81.3 ± 4.8^{c}	100.0 ± 0.0^d	100.0 ± 0.0^d	
	C. maculatus	0.0 ± 0.0^a	$41.3\pm12.5^{\text{b}}$	97.5 ± 2.8^{c}	100.0 ± 0.0^c	100.0 ± 0.0^{c}	

Table 1 Mortality of insects following fumigation without	grains with the EO of Callistemon viminalis for 24 h
---	--

Mortalities(%) (Mc \pm SD) in any line followed by the same alphabetical letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05) at Waller-Duncan test. Mc, corrected mortality; SD, standard deviation.

recorded with *A. obtectus*, 100% with *C. maculatus* and 0% in the control. However, after 6 h the EO exhibit quick knock-down activity in all the concentrations except in the control test. Moreover, the data mentioned in table 1 show that after 12 h exposure *C. maculatus* is more susceptible to the vapours of the EO of *C. viminalis* than *A. obtectus*. This observation was confirmed with the LC_{50} values calculated after 12 h exposure which were 0.019 and 0.011 μ l/cm³ towards *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*, respectively.

Insecticidal efficiency of ACP towards adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*

As shown on fig. 2a, b, the effect of the ACP based on the mixture of clay powder with the different volume of EO of *C. viminalis* on grains was dosedependant and no mortality was recorded in the control jars after 4 days exposure. There was significant difference (P < 0.05) between mortalities induced by the doses of 0.066, 0.133 and 0.266 μ l/g against *A. obtectus* during the 4 days. In the case of *C. maculatus*, from the second day exposure all the mortalities recorded in glass jars (treated and control) show a significant difference (P < 0.05) between each others. The LD₅₀ values of ACP calculated after 2 days exposure were 0.100 and 0.098 μ l/g towards *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*, respectively.

Comparison of the effects of the EO and its ACP against *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*

The effects of the EO and its ACP against adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* compared at the same dose and exposure time are shown in tables 2 and 3. In the two cases, it arises that during all the 4 days exposure, mortalities induced by ACP at the doses of 0.133 μ l/g and 0.266 μ l/g were in general significantly higher than those caused by the same doses of EO applied directly.

F₁ progeny Production

The EO of *C. viminalis* and its ACP effectively have an effect on the first progeny production as shown in table 4. The percentage of inhibition of adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* at F₁ increased with the dose of the EO and the ACP. The dose of 0.133 μ l/g caused 100% and 68.7% inhibition of F₁ progeny of



Fig. 2 (a) Effect of different doses of ACP on mortality of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* adults.
(b) Effect of different doses of ACP on mortality of *Callosobruchus maculatus* adults.

J. Appl. Entomol. 134 (2010) 333-341 © 2009 Blackwell Verlag, GmbH

	Product applied on grain	Dose (μl/g of grains)					
Exposure time (day)		0.000	0.033	0.066	0.133	0.266	
1	EO	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	6.0 ± 2.3^{a}	40.0 ± 3.3^{a}	71.0 ± 8.8^{a}	
2	EO	0.0 ± 0.0^{a} 0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	0.0 ± 0.0 6.0 ± 2.3^{a}	5.5 ± 2.5 11.0 $\pm 3.8^{a}$	52.0 ± 0.9 59.0 ± 2.0 ^a	93.3 ± 4.0 87.0 ± 10.5	
3	ACP EO	$0.0 \pm 0.0^{a} \\ 0.0 \pm 0.0^{a}$	6.6 ± 2.3^{a} 10.0 ± 4.0^{a}	8.0 ± 4.0^{a} 13.0 \pm 5.0 ^a	70.6 ± 12.2^{a} 60.0 ± 3.3^{a}	96.0 ± 6.0^{a} 90.0 ± 7.6^{a}	
	ACP	0.0 ± 0.0^a	17.3 ± 6.1^{a}	18.6 ± 4.6^a	81.3 ± 8.1^{b}	100.0 ± 0.0^a	
4	EO ACP	$\begin{array}{c} 0,0 \pm 0,0^{a} \\ 0.0 \pm 0.0^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 12.0 \pm 3.3^{a} \\ 20.0 \pm 8.0^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 19.0 \pm 6.8^{a} \\ 28.0 \pm 10.6^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 69.0 \pm 2.0^{a} \\ 88.0 \pm 8.8^{b} \end{array}$	95.0 ± 6.0^{a} 100.0 ± 0.0^{a}	

Mortalities(%) (Mc \pm SD) followed by the same alphabetical letter in a column or for the same concentration are not significantly different (P > 0.05) at Student test.

Mc, corrected mortality; SD, standard deviation; EO, Essential Oil of *Callistemon viminalis* (μ l/g of grains). ACP, Aromatized Clay Powder (μ l/g of grains).

	Product applied on grain	Dose (μl/g of grains)					
Exposure time (day)		0.000	0.033	0.066	0.133	0.266	
1	EO	0.0 ± 0.0^{a} 0.0 + 0.0 ^a	4.0 ± 0.0^{a}	5.3 ± 2.3^{a} 0.3 ± 6.1 ^a	9.3 ± 4.6^{b}	62.7 ± 6.1^{a}	
2	EO	0.0 ± 0.0^{a} 0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	14.7 ± 2.3^{b}	17.3 ± 4.6^{a}	$18.7 \pm 10.1^{\circ}$	81.3 ± 9.2^{a}	
2	ACP	0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	9.3 ± 2.3^{a}	14.7 ± 2.3^{a}	25.3 ± 4.6^{a}	98.7 ± 2.3^{b}	
2	ACP	0.0 ± 0.0 0.0 ± 0.0^{a}	32.0 ± 4.0 13.3 ± 2.3^{a}	36.0 ± 12.0 25.3 ± 6.1^{a}	45.5 ± 10.1 36.0 ± 12.0^{a}	94.7 ± 4.0 100.0 ± 0.0^{a}	
4	EO ACP	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\pm0.0^a\\ 0.0\pm0.0^a \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.3 \pm 6.1^{b} \\ 26.7 \pm 4.6^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 48.0 \pm 0.0^{a} \\ 49.3 \pm 10.1^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 54.0 \pm 10.0^{a} \\ 68.0 \pm 10.6^{a} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 98.7\pm2.3^{a} \\ 100.0\pm0.0^{a} \end{array}$	

Table 3 Comparison of the effect of the EO and that of its ACP against adults of Calloso-bruchus maculatus

Mortalities(%) (Mc \pm SD) followed by the same alphabetical letter in a column or for the same concentration are not significantly different (P > 0.05) at Student test.

Mc, Corrected Mortality; SD, standard deviation; EO: Essential Oil of Callistemon viminalis (μ l/g

of grains); ACP: Aromatized Clay Powder (μ l/g of grains).

A. obtectus and *C. maculatus* respectively. It appears from table 4 that every dose of EO or ACP applied on grains induced higher inhibition of F_1 progeny production of *A. obtectus* compared with that of *C. maculatus*. Moreover, significant differences (P < 0.05) were observed in the numbers of F_1 progeny produced in grains treated with EO compared with those obtained with its ACP.

Toxicity and persistence of the activity of EO and its ACP towards adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* in the course of time

Globally, the toxicity of EO and ACP decrease in the course of time (fig. 3). Nevertheless, the ACP remained more toxic and its effect more persistent compared with EO. For example at the dose of 0.266 μ l/g, the EO completely loosed its activity after

36 h while the ACP loosed it after 48 h exposure against adults of *A. obtectus*; the situation is similar with *C. maculatus* (fig. 4).

Discussion

In this study, the mortality of the insect species tested both by contact and by fumigation varied with the dose of EO or ACP and the insect species. High mortality rates and inhibition of F_1 progeny production were recorded by contact in grains treated with EO for *A. obtectus* compared with *C. maculatus*. However, on grains treated with ACP, adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus* were very susceptible and their LD₅₀ were much closed (0.100 and 0.098 μ l/g, respectively). The analysis of the fumigation data showed that the EO vapours exhibit a strong toxic action against the adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*.

 Table 2 Comparison of the effect of the EO and that of its ACP against adults of Acanthoscelides obtectus

Table 4 Effect of EO and ACP on F_1 progeny production of the two bruchids

Dose (µl/g of grain)		Acanthoscelide	es obtectus	Callosobruchus maculatus		
		Number of emerged insects	Percentage of inhibition of adults of Acanthoscelides obtectus at F ₁	Number of emerged insects	Percentage of inhibition of adults of Callosobruchus maculatus at F ₁	
Control	EO	16.0 ± 6.0^{a}	0	249.0 ± 18.2^{a}	0	
	ACP	7.0 ± 3.0^a	0	147.0 ± 2.9^{b}	0	
0.033	EO	6.0 ± 1.0^a	62.5	209.0 ± 13.9^{a}	16.1	
	ACP	2.0 ± 1.0^{b}	71.4	81.0 ± 2.9^{b}	44.9	
0.066	EO	4.0 ± 2.0^a	75	191.0 ± 21.3^{a}	23.3	
	ACP	$1.0\pm0.0_b$	85.7	53.0 ± 24.9^{b}	64	
0.133	EO	2.0 ± 1.0^a	87.5	103.0 ± 6.9^{a}	58.6	
	ACP	0.0 ± 0.0^{b}	100	46.0 ± 1.8^{b}	68.7	
0.266	EO	0.0 ± 0.0^a	100	0.0 ± 0.0^a	100	
	ACP	0.0 ± 0.0^a	100	0.0 ± 00^a	100	

Means (N \pm SD) followed by the same alphabetical letter in a column and for the same concentration are not significantly different (P > 0.05) at Student test.

N, Average number of emerged insects; SD, standard deviation; EO, Essential Oil of *Callistemon viminalis* (μ l/g of grains); ACP, Aromatized Clay Powder (μ l/g of grains).



mortalities exposed to different doses of EO and ACP of *Callistemon viminalis* according to exposure time before infestation.

Fig. 3 Evolution of Acanthoscelides obtectus

Fig. 4 Evolution of *Callosobruchus maculatus* mortalities exposed to different doses of EO and ACP of *Callistemon viminalis* according to exposure time before infestation.

It has also been established that the ACP generally remained more toxic and its effect more persistent compared with EO applied directly. Emergence of adult insects from all control samples indicated that test insects were capable of effective oviposition within the different periods used for mortality studies and that prevention of progeny emergence was exclusively because of treatments. All these results indicated that EO from the leaves of *C. viminalis* is a source of biologically active vapours as earlier mentioned by Keita et al. (2000) for various other EO vapours. In fact, EOs may block respiration or disrupt the water balance of eggs and developing embryos (Messina and Renwick 1983), and

consequently affect negatively the emergence of new adult insects.

The variation in the responses of the two bruchids could be attributed to the morphological and behavioural differences between them and any toxicity effect occurred in the present test could be attributed to the volatile compounds contained in the EO. Although, the mode of insecticidal action of EOs is not formally identified, that of certain constituents taken individually is recognized. The knockdown effect observed during the fumigation test could be attributed to limonene contained in this oil; indeed this component was recognized in its rapid provocation of knock-down and the dead of adults of Blattella aerminica and Musca domestica (Karr and Coats 1988). Furthermore, 1,8-cineole and limonene present in the EO of C. viminalis are toxic by penetrating insect's body through the respiratory system (fumigant effect), the cuticle (contact effect) or digestive system (ingestion effect) (Prates et al. 1998). In addition, in contact with insects, 1,8-cineole act by blocking the synthesis of juvenile hormones. It inhibits acetylcholinesterase by occupying the hydrophobic site of enzyme's active centre (Obeng-Ofori et al. 1997). Moreover, the toxicity of the EO no matter how it is used couldn't be attributed only to its major constituents, but generally these components act synergically.

The loss of insecticidal activity of EO and ACP in the course of time may be attributed to rapid evaporation and degradation of the chemicals (Obeng-Ofori et al. 1997). It was demonstrated that, oils with high content of hydrogenated compounds loss their activity quicker than those containing mainly oxygenated compounds (Huang and Ho 1998; Regnault-Roger et al. 2002). The speed of the oxidation of hydrogenated monoterpenes is greater for compounds as sabinene, 1,8 cineole and α -pinene; this oxidation leads to the reduction of the insecticidal efficiency of the oil (Kim et al. 2002). The high persistence of the ACP on grains could be explained by the fact that the clay powder has a high absorbent properties (Tonle 2004), and as such fixed the volatile constituents and gradually released them out in the course of time.

The results obtained suggest good potential for the use of the EO of *C. viminalis* as toxic agent against adults of *A. obtectus* and *C. maculatus*. However, this oil would be advised for the protection of bean than cowpea grains because of its higher toxicity towards adults of *A. obtectus* compared with *C. maculatus*. It will also be advisable to use mineral material such as clay as carrier of EO to provide a protection of food for long

duration. The advantage of this formulation is that it can be prepared on site with local materials, providing farmers with a natural insecticide that is compatible with their culture. While oils used alone can effectively protect stored grains from insects, it can leave a persistent odour that can be unpleasant when eating the seeds (Pierrard 1986). The use of clay powders aromatized with EOs has a twofold advantage because of the combined effects of mechanism action, blocking the insect's respiration, filling intergranular spaces at high doses, and chemical action, acting primarily on granular cells (Ramaswamy et al. 1995).

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Tonle K. Ignas (Department of Chemistry, University of Dschang, Cameroon) for provision of clay sample. Financial support was partially provided by the Belgian Cooperation to Development (CUD) through "Storeprotect" project. The authors thank the reviewers for their comments.

References

- Abbott WS, 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. J. Econ. Entomol. 18, 265–267.
- Anonymous, 1992. The wealth of India. Raw Materials, vol. 3. Publications and Information Directorate, CSIR: New Delhi, 63–66.
- Boeke SJ, Baumgart IR, van Loon JJA, van Huis A, Dicke M, Kossou DK, 2004. Toxicity and repellence of African plants traditionally used for the protection of stored cowpea against *Callosobruschus maculatus*.J. Stored Prod. Res. 40, 423–438.
- Buchbauer G, 2000. The detailed analysis of essential oils leads to the understanding of their properties. Perfumer and Flavorist. 25, 64–67.
- Caswell GH, 1968. The storage of cowpea in Northen states of Nigeria. Proc. Agric. Soc. Nigeria. 5, 4–5.
- Delobel A, Tran M, 1993. Les coléoptères des denrées alimentaires entreposées dans les régions chaudes. In: Faune Tropicale, xxxii ORSTOM édn. ORSTOM/ CTA, Paris, 298–333.
- Finney DF, 1971. Probit analysis. 3rd edn. University Press, Cambridge 333 p.
- Golob P, Webley DJ, 1980. The use of plants and minerals as traditional protectants of stored products. Report of the Tropical Products Institute G138, London, 32 p.
- Huang Y, Ho SH, 1998. Toxicity and antifeedant activities of cinnamaldhyde against grain storage insects, *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) and *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. J. Stored Prod. Res. 34, 11–17.

Karr LL, Coats JR, 1988. Insecticidal properties of δ -limonene. J. Pesticide Sci. 13, 287–290.

- Keita SM, Vincent C, Schmit JP, Ramaswamy S, Bélanger A, 2000. Effect of various essential oils on *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Stored Prod. Res. 36, 355–364.
- Kim SI, Roh JY, Kim DH, 2002. Insecticidal activities of aromatic plant extract and essential oils against *Sitophilus oryzae* and *Callosobruchus chinensis*. J. Stored Prod. Res. 38, 102–107.
- Kumar R, 1991. La lutte contre les insectes ravageurs. L'agriculture en régions Tropicales. CTA KARTHALA Pays-bas, Paris. 310 p.
- Lahlou M, 2004. Methods to study the phytochemistry ad bioactivity of essential oils. Phytother. Res. 18, 435–448.
- Messina FJ, Renwick JAA, 1983. Effectivness of oils in protecting stored cowpea from the cowpea weevil (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 76, 634–636.
- Ndomo AF, Ngamo TL, Tapondjou AL, Tchouanguep MF, Hance T, 2008. Insecticidal effects of the powdery formulation based on clay and essential oil from the leaves of *Clausena anisata* (Rutaceae) against *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). J. Pest Science. 81, 227–234.
- Ngamo LST, Ngassoum MB, Jirovertz L, Ousman A, Nukenine E, Moukala OE, 2001. Protection of stored Maize against *Sitophilus zeamais* (Motsch.) by use of essential oils of species from Cameroon. Med. Fac. Landbouww. Univ. Gent, 66(2a), 473–478.
- Obeng-Ofori D, Reichmuth C, Bekele J, Hassanali A, 1997. Biological activity of 1,8 cineole, a major component of essential oil of *Ocimum kenyense* (Ayobangira) against stored products beetles. J. Appl. Entomol. 121, 237–243.
- Pierrard G, 1986. Control of the cowpea weevil *Callosobruchus maculatus*, at the farmer level in Senegal. Trop. Pest Manag. 32, 197–200.
- Prates TH, Santos JP, Waquil JM, Fabr's SD, Oliviera AB, Foster JB, 1998. Insecticidal activity of monoterpenes

against *Rhyzoperta aminica* (F.) and *Tribolium castenium* (Herbs.). J. Stored Prod. Res. 34(4), 243–249.

- Ramaswamy SB, Shu S, Monroe WA, Mbata GN, 1995. Ultrastructure and potential role of integumentary glandular cells in adult male and female *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Pic) and *C. maculatus* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Int. J. Ins Morphol. 24, 51–61.
- Regnault-Roger C, Philogène BJ, Vincent C, 2002. Biopesticides d'origines végétales. Tec & Doc. Eds, Paris, 337 p.
- Srivastava SK, Ahmad A, Syamsunder KV, Aggarwal KK, Khanuja SPS, 2003. Essential oil composition of *Callistemon viminalis* leaves from India. Flav. & Frag. J. 18, 361–363.
- Steel RG, Torrie JH, 1980. Principles and procedures of statistics. McGraw Hill Book C, New York, 633 p.
- Subramanyam BH, Swanson CL, Madamanchi N, Norwood S, 1994. Effectiveness of insector, a new generation diatomaceous earth formulation, in suppressing several stored-grain insect species. vol 2. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Working Conference on Stored-Product Protection. Ed. by Highley E, Wright E, Banks HJ, Champ BP, CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK. 2, 650–659.
- Tapondjou LA, Adler C, Bouda H, Fontem DA, 2003.
 Bioefficacité des poudres et des huiles sessentielles des feuilles de *Chenopodium ambrosioides* et *Eucalyptus saligna* à *l'égard de la bruche du niébé, Callosobruchus maculatus*Fab. (Coleoptera : Bruchidae). C. Agricultures. 12, 401–407.
- Tapondjou LA, Adler C, Fontem DA, Bouda H, Reichmuth C, 2005. Bioactivities of cymol and essential oils of *Cupressus sempervirens* and *Eucalyptus saligna* against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky and *Tribolium confusum* du Val. J. Stored Prod. Res. 41, 91–102.
- Tonle KI, 2004.Capteurs électroniques à base d'argiles smectitiques camerounaises fonctionnalisées par les groupements thiols et amine: Elaboration, Caractérisation et Application au piégeage de métaux lourds à effet polluant. Thèse de Ph.D. Université de Yaoundé I, 163 p.