Journal Pre-proof



Frailty, sarcopenia and mortality in cirrhosis: what is the best assessment, how to interpret the data correctly and what interventions are possible?

S2210-7401(21)00040-1

Nicolas Lanthier, Peter Stärkel, Géraldine Dahlqvist

PII: DOI:

To appear in:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinre.2021.101661

Reference: CLINRE 101661

Clinics and Research in Hepatology and Gastroenterology

Please cite this article as: Lanthier N, Stärkel P, Dahlqvist G, Frailty, sarcopenia and mortality in cirrhosis: what is the best assessment, how to interpret the data correctly and what interventions are possible?, *Clinics and Research in Hepatology and Gastroenterology* (2021), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinre.2021.101661

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

Frailty, sarcopenia and mortality in cirrhosis: what is the best assessment, how to interpret the data correctly and what interventions are possible?

Nicolas Lanthier¹⁻², Peter Stärkel¹⁻², Géraldine Dahlqvist¹

- 1. Service d'Hépato-gastroentérologie, Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, UCLouvain, Brussels, Belgium.
- 2. Laboratory of Hepato-Gastroenterology, Institut de Recherche Expérimentale et Clinique, UCLouvain, Brussels, Belgium.

Corresponding author :

Pr. Nicolas Lanthier

Service d'Hépato-gastroentérologie

Cliniques universitaires Saint-Luc, UCLouvain

Avenue Hippocrate, 10

1200 Bruxelles

Phone : 00.32.2.764.28.24

Fax: 00.32.2.764.89.27

Electronic word count: 1033 words

Figure/table : no.

List of abbreviations: LFI: liver frailty index, MAFLD: metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease

Keywords: frailty, sarcopenia, muscle, cirrhosis, transplantation, exercise.

Competing interests: Nicolas Lanthier is a clinical researcher from the FNRS (Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique, Belgium). He received lecture fees from Fresenius Kabi. The organizations had no role in manuscript design or preparation and decision to publish. The other authors have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author's contribution: NL (drafting of the manuscript), PS and GD (critical revision of the

manuscript).

Acknowledgement

Nicolas Lanthier is a clinical researcher from the FNRS (Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique, Belgium).

Journal Pre-proof

Summary

Cirrhosis-induced sarcopenia plays a deleterious role in patients on the waiting list of transplantation. Liver frailty index (LFI) calculation based on easy measurable clinical parameters (muscle strength and balance data) seems therefore accurate for identifying patients at risk for waiting list mortality. However, some questions remain open such as the difficult clinical testing of patients with encephalopathy, the comparison of these clinical data with the radiological evaluation of muscle quantity and quality, the attitude to adopt towards these patients identified as fragile (emergency versus futile transplantation?) and the possible benefit of interventions (nutrition and/or exercise). Finally, recent data show that the deterioration of the muscle condition occurs early prior to the development of advanced fibrosis (specifically in fatty liver disease). This underlines the interest of evaluating the muscle compartment during the pathogenesis of liver diseases, also before the emergence of cirrhosis.

Sarcopenia, defined as a critical reduction in skeletal muscle mass associated with poor outcomes, has been shown to carry an increased risk of morbidity and mortality in end-stage liver disease and in particular in patients on the waiting list of transplantation [1].

Recently, a large prospective multicentre study evaluating the frailty at baseline and frailty evolution in cirrhotic patients on the transplant list was published [2]. Frailty was determined by an objective liver frailty index (LFI) calculation based on measurable muscle strength and balance data. Interestingly, for similar MELD scores (around 18 points), transplant list mortality was significantly higher in patients with high baseline frailty and a worsening of this LFI, reassessed every 3 months. Importantly, an objective clinical assessment of frailty seems thus possible. However, after an analysis of these data, three important questions emerge.

Firstly, patients with severe encephalopathy are unfortunately excluded from the "clinical" evaluation of frailty [2]. As a reminder, encephalopathy as a clinical manifestation of endstage liver disease is common in patients requiring a transplant. It is obvious that the calculation of the LFI would be biased by the presence of encephalopathy and not representative of frailty in this situation. The other "classical" measures on radiological

Journal Pre-proof

images evaluating muscle quantity (in order to detect sarcopenia) but also muscle quality (muscle composition) are therefore needed in these patients [3]. According to this point of view, it would be interesting to compare the LFI score (when it is feasible) and these radiological measurements.

Secondly, we wonder how to correctly interpret the results of those evaluations. Is a high LFI or low skeletal muscle index an urgent call for liver transplantation priority or one important information for clinicians helping them to avoid futile transplantation? It seems logical to postulate that our attention and priority should be given to the most fragile patients [4]. However, on the contrary, shouldn't we consider denying transplantation for patients with very high frailty scores in whom it would be too late to benefit from transplantation? In a context of shortage of liver grafts and known impact of sarcopenia on post-transplant mortality [5], this question is to be asked.

Thirdly, the interesting data on frailty/sarcopenia related risk call for further intervention studies. This task would probably be complex and broad with multiple objectives. Those include targeting and correcting frailty, trying to improve nutritional status and survival to finally allow the patient to be in a better condition to reach the transplant, or even avoid it... Recommendations have been published in this context [6]. However, we know from previous dietary intervention studies that these interventions are effective (ameliorate survival or total body protein) in the case of mild cirrhotic disease (Child A) but not in the case of more severe disease (Child B or C) [7,8]. We therefore want to insist that one should not wait too long for nutritional interventions in the cirrhotic patient. Adapted physical activity has also been proposed in patients on the waiting list of transplantation with some benefits but also limited data [1]. The benefit of a combined intervention (nutrition and exercise) to increase muscle strength and general condition in cirrhotic patients and pre-transplant patients needs to be evaluated. According to the recent study on frailty [2], specific subgroups of patients, such as subjects with metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), seem to be particularly affected by frailty deterioration over time and thus require special attention and further studies. Changes in muscle size/composition may indeed play a role in the progression of all decompensated liver diseases [9], but also specifically in the pathogenesis of MAFLD before cirrhosis development [10,11].

Taken together, frailty evaluation through the objective LFI allows an objective longitudinal clinical evaluation of the patients. Further studies are needed to compare this clinical index with even more objective radiological data, as well as in liver disease pathogenesis, before the occurrence of cirrhosis, especially in MAFLD [12,13]. Altogether, this information opens

important debates on listing priority and possible impact of nutrional and physical interventions.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

References

- [1] Brustia R, Savier E, Scatton O. Physical exercise in cirrhotic patients: Towards prehabilitation on waiting list for liver transplantation. A systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2018;42:205-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinre.2017.09.005.
- [2] Lai JC, Dodge JL, Kappus MR, Dunn MA, Volk ML, Duarte-Rojo A, et al. Changes in frailty are associated with waitlist mortality in patients with cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2020;73:575- 81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2020.03.029.
- [3] Ebadi M, Montano-Loza AJ. Sarcopenia and Frailty in the Prognosis of Patients on the Liver Transplant Waiting List. Liver Transplant 2019;25:7-9. https://doi.org/10.1002/lt.25386.
- [4] Mazumder N, Rinella M. Editorial: sarcopenia in liver transplantation-our weakest patients may need the strongest push. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019;49:1100-1. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.15204.
- [5] Englesbe MJ, Patel SP, He K, Lynch RJ, Schaubel DE, Harbaugh C, et al. Sarcopenia and mortality after liver transplantation. J Am Coll Surg 2010;211:271 - 8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2010.03.039.
- [6] Merli M, Berzigotti A, Zelber-Sagi S, Dasarathy S, Montagnese S, Genton L, et al. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on nutrition in chronic liver disease. J Hepatol 2019;70:172- 93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2018.06.024.
- [7] Iwasa M, Iwata K, Hara N, Hattori A, Ishidome M, Sekoguchi-Fujikawa N, et al. Nutrition therapy using a multidisciplinary team improves survival rates in patients with liver cirrhosis. Nutrition 2013;29:1418- 21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2013.05.016.
- [8] Plank LD, Gane EJ, Peng S, Muthu C, Mathur S, Gillanders L, et al. Nocturnal nutritional supplementation improves total body protein status of patients with liver cirrhosis: A randomized 12-month trial. Hepatology 2008;48:557-66. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.22367.
- [9] Lanthier N. Haemopoietic stem cell therapy in cirrhosis: the end of the story? Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;3. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(17)30359-X.
- [10] Tanaka M, Okada H, Hashimoto Y, Kumagai M, Nishimura H, Oda Y, et al. Relationship between nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and muscle quality as well as quantity evaluated by computed tomography. Liver Int 2020;40:120- 30. https://doi.org/10.1111/liv.14253.
- [11] Lanthier N, Rodriguez J, Nachit M, Hiel S, Trefois P, Neyrinck AM, et al. Microbiota analysis and transient elastography reveal new extra-hepatic components of liver steatosis and fibrosis in obese patients. Sci Rep 2021;11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79718-9.
- [12] Lanthier N. Physiopathologie de la NASH: de nouveaux facteurs de risque identifiés ? Louv Med 2020;139:405- 10.
- [13] De Munck TJI, Verhaegh P, Lodewick T, Bakers F, Jonkers D, Masclee AAM, et al. Myosteatosis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: An exploratory study. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinre.2020.06.021.

ournal Prevension