Sent2Agri System Based Crop Type Mapping in Yellow River Irrigation Area Jinlong FAN¹, Pierre DEFOURNY², Qinghan DONG³, Xiaoyu ZHANG⁴, Mathilde De VROEY², Nicolas BELLEMANS², Qi XU¹, Qiliang LI¹, Lei ZHANG⁴, Hao GAO¹

 National Satellite Meteorological Center, Beijing 100081, China; 2. Université catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve 1348, Belgium; 3. Flemish Institute for Technological Research, Mol 2400, Belgium; 4. Ningxia Institute of Meteorological Sciences, Yinchuan 750000, China

Abstract: Agricultural monitoring is essential for adequate management of food production and distribution. Crop land and crop type classification, using remote sensing time series, form an important tool to capture the agricultural production information. The recently launched Sentinel-2 satellites provide unprecedented monitoring capacities in terms of spatial resolution, swath width, and revisit frequency. The Sentinel-2 for Agriculture (Sen2-Agri) system has been developed to fully exploit those capacities, by providing four relevant earth observation products for agricultural monitoring. Under the Dragon 4 Program, the crop mapping with various satellite images and a specific focus on the Yellow River irrigated agricultural area in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region in China was carried out with the Sentinel-2 for Agriculture system (Sent2Agri). 9 types of crops were classified and the crop type map in 2017 was produced based on 35 scenes Sentinel 2A/B images. The overall accuracy computed from the error confusion matrix is 88%, which includes the cropped and uncropped types. After the removal of the uncropped area, the overall accuracy for a cropped decrease to 73%. In order to further improve the crop classification accuracy, the training dataset should be further improved and tuned.

Key words: crop mapping; Dragon Program; Sentinel 2; Sent2Agri system

Citation: Jinlong FAN, Pierre DEFOURNY, Qinghan DONG, et al. Sent2Agri System Based Crop Type Mapping in Yellow River Irrigation Area[J]. Journal of Geodesy and Geoinformation Science, 2020, 3(4): 110-117. DOI: 10. 11947/j.JGGS.2020.0411.

The world population is growing continuously and imposing great pressure on our planet's ecosystems. Food production is one of the major components of this pressure. Agricultural monitoring is essential for adequate management of food production and distribution^[1-2]. Being able to estimate cropped areas and predict yields is crucial for decision makers around the globe. Satellites are a valuable resource for obtaining information at a large scale and with a high revisit frequency, which meets two important requirements for agricultural monitoring. Moreover, the timeliness of the information is another crucial concern. The earliest accurate information can be delivered, the most useful it is for decision makers and agriculture producers. In this context agricultural monitoring has become more and more essential^[3-4].

Nowadays, several international initiatives have set up to provide local and global agricultural monitoring, of which one of the most important efforts is GEO's Global Agricultural Monitoring (GEOGLAM) Initiative.

Crop land and crop type classification, using remote sensing time series, form an important tool, among others, to deliver such information. A large variety of crop mapping methods at different scales and showing various levels of accuracy can be found in the literature^[5-6]. From the first use of satellites for agricultural monitoring in the 1970s^[7] to the latest study, crop mapping strategies have evolved tremendously. Methods have been adapted continuously, to the increasing performances of embarked sensors and to increasing computational capacities.

Received date: 2020-10-02; accepted date: 2020-11-17

Foundation support: Natural Science Foundation project (No. 41271429); FP7 Project (SIGMA); ESA Project (Dragon 4 and S2A)

First author: Jinlong FAN, PhD, majors in agricultural remote sensing.

The first main challenge of crop mapping is to differentiate annual cropland from other land uses and especially from other green land covers. Working with time series instead of single date image allows temporal features, which are a great asset for classifying cropland and identifying different crop types^[8-10]. Another great issue is the spatial resolution. Often, sensors with a high revisit frequency and a large swath width offer a coarser spatial resolution. Using such sensors to map agricultural areas is problematic when the field areas are inferior to the sensor's pixel size, causing mixed pixels.

The recently launched Sentinel-2 satellites provide unprecedented monitoring capacities in terms of spatial resolution, swath width, and revisit frequencv^[11-13]. With its swath width of 290 km and 10 m spatial resolution, combined with its 5 days revisit frequency, the constellation of two satellites presents a great potential for large scale crop mapping with high precision and accuracy. The Sentinel-2 for Agriculture (Sent2Agri) system^[14-16], a project funded by the European space agency and led by Université Catholique de Louvain (UCL), has been developed to fully exploit those capacities, by providing four relevant earth observation products for agricultural monitoring. The system can provide a monthly cloud free image composite, a binary cropland mask differentiating cropland from other land covers, a crop type map, classifying the main crops of a region and a vegetation status map with LAI or NDVI values.

Under the Dragon 4 Program, the crop mapping with various satellite images and a specific focus on the Yellow River irrigated agricultural area in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region in China was carried out with the Sentinel-2 for Agriculture system (Sent2Agri). This paper presents the results and the performance evaluation of Sent2Agri in this area.

1 Study Area and Data

1.1 Study area

The northern part of the Ningxia Yellow River irrigation area selected as the study area in this paper. The Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region is a 66.400 km² area in Northwest China. Amongst 6 million people living

in the region, 66.4% is rural population. In 2016, the farmland represented 1. 292 1 million ha, including 501 364 ha of irrigated crops and 781 721 ha of rain-fed crops. Since 1985, the Ningxia Hui Region has been self-sufficient in terms of food supply and even developed from an import province to an export province. The main crops in Ningxia are grains, oil plants, vegetables, and pasture grass. Grains account for the largest farmland surface, namely 59% of the total farmland. Those grains are mainly wheat, rice, corn, and minor (peas, horse haricot beans, grass peas, buckwheat, beans. glutinous millet, and millet, etc.). The latter are mostly produced in the southern mountainous and central arid areas.

The Ningxia region is divided into 3 geomorphic and economic zones. The mountainous and loess hilly district (MLHD) in the south, the centrally located dry and desertified district (DDD) and the Yellow River irrigated district (YERID) in the northern plains. Geology and climate vary tremendously, from the south to the north. The topography declines, the temperature rises, and the precipitation decreases. These gradients cause 3 different agricultural landscapes. In the MLHD 70% of the cultivated land consists of slope farmland. Although this region has the highest precipitation, the hilly landscape and the uneven temporal distribution of rainfall hinder most agricultural activities. The DDD, as its name indicates, is a very arid area, mostly covered by grassland (77% of Ningxia's grasslands). It is however suited for the Yellow River irrigation, given its proximity to the water body and its flat topography. The YERID is the most important rural part of Ningxia. It represents only one-third of the total farmland in the region, but accounts for two-thirds of Ningxia's grain production and agricultural production value. YERID's Gross Domestic Product represents almost 90% of the region's total. Annual rainfall is extremely low in this area, but the Yellow River allows efficient irrigation of the fields. In YERID, wheat, rice, and corn are by far the major crops at present. This study was focused on the YERID, as it is the most important agricultural area of the whole region. The study area corresponds to six Sentinel-2 tiles (Fig.1).





105°12'00"E 105°36'00"E 106°00'00"E 106°24'00"E 106°48'00"E 107°12'00"E Fig.1 The main study area

1.2 Sentinel-2 data

The Sentinel satellites are part of European Space Agency's Copernicus program. Sentinel-2A was launched in June 2015 and complemented by Sentinel-2B in March 2017. This second Sentinel mission is equipped with high resolution multispectral (MS) sensors, providing information on land surface and vegetation for instance. Sentinel-2 provides an unprecedented 10 m spatial resolution with a 5 day

global revisit frequency, a 13 bands imager, and a 290 km swath width. Tab. 1 lists the spectral and spatial specifications of the Sentinel 2A/B.

Through the Sent2Agri system, Sentinel-2 L1C (top of atmosphere) images wereautomatically downloaded for the six tiles covering the study area and over the whole 2017 growing season from the Copernicus Open Access Hub (see in https://scihub.copernicus.eu/). Using Sent2Agri's L2A processor, a Multi-sensor Atmospheric Correction and Cloud Screening (MACCS algorithm) was performed on the top of atmosphere images, resulting in a L2A time series of 27 Sentinel-2 A (S2A) and 8 Sentinel-2 B (S2B) images between December 8, 2016 and November 1, 2017 (Fig.2). Fig.3 shows the availability of cloud free images over the study area.

Tab.1 Spatial resolution bands and associated signal to noise ratio (SNR)

Band number	Central wavelength ∕nm] Bandwidth ∕nm	Lref (reference radiance) (Wm ⁻² sr ⁻¹ µm ⁻¹)	e SNR@ Lref	Resolution /m
1	443	20	129	129	60
2	490	65	128	154	10
3	560	35	128	168	10
4	665	30	108	142	10
5	705	15	74.5	117	20
6	740	15	68	89	20
7	783	20	67	105	20
8	842	115	103	172	10
8a	865	20	52.5	72	20
9	945	20	9	114	60
10	1375	30	6	50	60
11	1610	90	4	100	20
12	2190	180	1.5	100	20



Sentinel 2 satellite images acquired for this study Fig.2



Fig.3 Cloud free images count over the study area

1.3 Field data

The reference data needed for training and validation of the classification was collected through field observations. Reference points were gathered using GPS cameras and a Quick Photo Data Processor. The method implies two major steps. First the georeferenced pictures were taken with a GPS camera along the study area's roads following a predefined itinerary. In a second phase, land cover and crop type classes retrieved by screening the pictures with the processor. The final product of this process is a table file gathering all GPS points with corresponding classes, author, roadside (left or right), collecting dates and times and the corresponding image file names.

A field campaign was carried out in June 2017, providing about 1500 ground truth points with spatial reference and associated crop or other land cover classes. Those sample points are distributed over the irrigated area as shown in Fig. 4. Based on those points and using Google Earth as a visual reference, polygons were created, covering the plot extents, to increase the number of reference pixels per class. In addition, the in-situ dataset was complemented by delineating additional non-cropland samples to cover the whole range of landscape diversity. The dataset was randomly divided into training and validation subsets, each containing 50% of the initial dataset. As a result, samples of most classes are more or less equally distributed between the two subsets. Tab. 2 shows the number of polygons and the estimated number of pixels per class in the training and validation datasets.



Fig.4 In-situ sample points distribution in the study area

		San	nples	Pixels(Pixels(10 m)		
	Land Cover	Training	Validation	Training	Validation		
	fodder	19	39	433	1054		
	Wheat	40	41	1241	1274		
	Rice	121	111	3983	3697		
	Corn	101	92	3925	3698		
Crops	Grapes	25	38	1397	2028		
	Cabbage	8	12	208	336		
	Tomatoes	3	4	53	70		
	Watermelons	3	4	86	136		
	Medlar	3	5	106	242		
	Plantations	67	60	2964	2273		
	Grassland	6	5	7068	4700		
No	Forests	11	9	4054	1883		
crops	Bare Soils	33	42	1576	5081		
	Build-up	90	74	5167	5081		
	Water bodies	43	35	3367	1904		
	Total	573	571	35 628	34 424		

Tab.2 Training and validation data-sets for 2017 growing season

2 Methods

2.1 Approach of the S2A system

The unprecedented capacities of Sentinel-2 satellites are an important asset in many fields, and particularly in agricultural monitoring. The 10 m spatial resolution facilitates the generation of crop maps on field level. Sent2Agri system, an open source 114

time series processing chains for large-scale production, aims to fully exploit those new Sentinel-2 capacities. The final approach of the Sent2Agri System was tested through the following studies. Literature [8] concluded Sentinel-2 will certainly bring improvements in the results thanks to the enhanced spatial resolution and the increased number of spectral bands, mainly in the red-edge spectrum. other scholar, proposes and demonstrates an automated methodology for annual cropland mapping performing along the season in various agricultural systems using high spatial and temporal resolution remote sensing time series. And another scholar developed a method to create a dynamic binary cropland mask that will be used as input data for the crop type map and crop status map.

The workflow (Fig. 5) of the Sent2Agri's for the cropland map and the crop type map production is described in the Seninel-2 Agriculture Software User Manual. The processing chain of the crop type map is also based on a random forest classifier. The values of the parameters for the random forest classifier were kept default (100 trees, maximum depth 25 and 25 features at each node). The main inputs are the bottom of atmosphere Sentinel-2 images and in situ data representing all the expected crops of the study area. Moreover, the cropland mask generated for the same period is also needed as input. The L2A images are linearly interpolated to obtain smooth time series and a temporal resampling ensures a homogeneous distribution of the data over time. The features extracted for the random forest classifier are surface (TOC), NDVI, NDWI and brightness. The classification model is then used to classify the cropland area, as defined by the cropland mask. The cropland mask production is based on a random forest classifier. The input reference data can be in situ data, collected and provided by the user, or a reference raster land cover map, which is the CCI Land Cover 2015 product by default, but can be provided by the user as well. A first crop type map was generated using the imagery of the whole growing season and the 2017 in situ training dataset, representing 9 different crops. Crop mask was used as input for this crop type map. The crop type map production workflow is schematized in Fig.5.

2.2 Validation methods

In this study the validation of the generated maps was carried out independently, outside the Sent2Agri system, using the validation subset of the reference data. To evaluate and compare the performances of the Sent2Agri system, a conventional validation method was used, computing performance metrics such as the F1-scores and the overall accuracy. Those metrics are based on an error confusion matrix between the classified pixels and reference validation pixels. The reference pixels were obtained from the validation set of in situ polygons selected earlier. Two validation datasets were generated, one binary raster differentiating "cropland" and "no cropland" for the cropland masks validation and another featuring the different crops for the crop type map validation. The error confusion matrices were computed as presented in Tab.3 and the performance metrics were calculated with Eqs. (1)-(4). Tab. 3 shows the typical format of a confusion matrix, where n_{ii} is the number of observations categorized as i in the reference dataset and as j in the predicted crop map and J is the number of different classes.



Fig.5 Workflow of the Sent2Agri for the crop type classification

	ab.3	Typical er	ror contus	on matri	X			
Classified								
		j = 1	<i>j</i> = 2		j = J			
	i = 1	n_{11}	n_{12}		n_{1J}			
Reference	i = 2	n_{21}	n ₂₂		n_{2J}			
	i = J	n_{J1}	n_{J2}		n_{JJ}			

From the error confusion matrix, several performance metrics can be derived. The most common and simple ones are the F1-scores and the overall accuracy. The F1-score (Eq. (3)) is derived from the precision and the recall for each class, which are computed through Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) respectively. It gives an indication of the classification performance per class.

Precision =
$$\frac{n_{ii}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{ij}}$$
 (1)

Recall =
$$\frac{n_{jj}}{\sum_{i=1}^{J} n_{ij}}$$
 (2)
Precision × Becall

F1-score =
$$2 \times \frac{Precision \times Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$
 (3)

The overall accuracy gives the proportion of observations classified correctly. It can be computed through Eq. (4).

Overall Accuracy =
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{J} n_{ii}}{\sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{ij}}$$
(4)

3 Results

3.1 Crop type map and the error evaluation

The crop type map generated with the Sent2Agri system, based on the previously obtained cropland mask, using images of the whole 2017 growing season and thein-situ training dataset, is shown in Fig.6. From a visual analysis of the full extent, the main crops, namely maize and rice clearly stand out followed by wheat. Those three main crops are indeed the major crop types grown in this region. Based on a closer look at the plots, rice and maize seem to be well distinguished from one another, while maize and wheat appear to be mixed inside some plots. Generally, there is an undeniable salt and pepper effect, due to the pixel-based approach.

To assess the quality of the classification, an error confusion matrix was generated between the crop type map and the in-situ validation dataset containing each crop type, and error metrics were computed (Tab.4). Several observations can be made, based on the confusion matrix and the performance metrics. First, the overall accuracy computed from the confusion matrix is 88%, which seems high. However, as the "no crop" pixels are numerous, they weigh a lot in the overall accuracy. It is therefore interesting to calculate the overall accuracy of the crop type classification only, excluding the "no crop" pixels. The result (73%) is significantly lower, meaning the cropland mask contributes largely to the overall accuracy of the crop type map. The real accuracy of the crop type classification is however 73%. Secondly, when analyzing the individual performances for each class, certain crops clearly stand out in terms of classification accuracy. Rice was classified with high accuracy (89% F1score). It was barely confused with other crops, meaning its spectral signature and its temporal features are very specific and different from other crops Fodder. was also classified quite accurately (85% F1-score). Other crops, like maize, wheat, grapes, or cabbage have lower F1-scores, ranging from 61% (wheat and grapes) to 77% (maize). Those crops seem to be less distinguishable. Wheat and grapes were confused with maize quite often. Other landcovers ("no crop") were misclassified as maize as well. Another notable error is the confusion between grapes and other land covers, probably bare soil. Finally, it is clear the classes have been completely misclassified or overlooked by the classification model. They have not once been classified correctly. While the main and minor crops show very high F1-scores, the three crop types score 0 in both precision and recall. This is majorly due to their poor representation in the training dataset, compared to other classes. In a random forest classifier, each tree uses a subset of the total set of features to define decision rules for each node. As a result, classes that are poorly represented in the complete training dataset have a smaller chance to be represented in the feature subset for each tree. At the end, when performing the majority voting, those classes tend to be overlooked. A potential solution to tackle the issue of the classes was to gather the three classes in one "other" class for the main classification, to perform a separate classification with only those three classes, and then substitute the "other" class with the separate classification.

	Classified											
		Fodder	Wheat	Maize	Rice	Grape	Cabbage	Tomato	Watermelon	Medlar	No Crop	Precision
	Fodder	815	3	17	22	0	2	0	1	0	60	0.89
	Wheat	16	678	22	114	29	6	0	0	6	298	0.58
	Maize	45	264	3170	184	294	84	56	10	29	259	0.72
	Rice	89	12	196	3334	0	13	4	0	0	44	0.90
Ground	Grape	2	17	21	0	942	0	0	1	3	755	0.54
Truth	Cabbage	2	2	1	0	0	214	0	48	0	17	0.75
	Tomato	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0.00
	Watermelon	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	0.00
	Medlar	0	0	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	204	0.00
	No crop	6	84	387	114	58	35	0	0	4	21 201	0.00
	Recall	0.82	0.64	0.83	0.88	0.71	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.92	
	F1-score	0.85	0.61	0.77	0.89	0.61	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.95	

Tab.4	The	error	confusion	matrix
-------	-----	-------	-----------	--------



Fig.6 Crop type map for the irrigation area in 2017

3.2 Crop land and the error evaluation

The cropland mask was generated using Sentinel-2 imagery from the start till the end of the season, implying 24 dates and with the in-situ training dataset.

The resulting cropped land mask is shown in Fig.6. Visually, this cropland mask seems very satisfying, as the whole irrigated agricultural area seems to be classified correctly as "crop". The main built up areas and the Yellow River clearly distinguishable as "no crop". When comparing the zoomed-in section to the equivalent Sentinel-2 composite image, the smaller and more scattered built-up areas, the narrow roads, and even boundaries between different plots seem to be classified quite accurately as "no crop". Due to the pixel-based approach, however, a slight salt and pepper effect is almost unavoidable.

Statistically, and according to the in-situ validation dataset, the high accuracy of this cropland mask is confirmed. The F1-scores of "crop" and "no crop" are 92% and 94% respectively and the overall accuracy is 93%.

4 Conclusion

Under the Dragon Program, a joint collaborative study for crop mapping with the Sent2Agri system was carried out successfully in the Yellow River irritation area in the Northwest Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region. The satellite data collecting and processing were fully benefited from the Sent2Agri system. The classifier and the parameters setting were used the default values of the Sent2Agri system. As the key of the remote sensing classification, the scheme of field data collection and the training dataset development and tuning were performed by both teams. The resultdemonstrated the good performance of Sent2Agri system firstly in the fully irrigated area in the world. 9 types of crop were classified and the crop type map in 2017 was produced based on 35 scenes Sentinel 2A/B images. The overall accuracy computed from the confusion matrix is 88%, which includes the cropped and uncropped types. After the removal of the uncropped area, the overall accuracy for cropped only decreases to 73%. The major crop types, like rice, were classified with high accuracy with 89% F1-score. Fodder was also classified quite accurately (85% F1-score). Other crops, like maize, wheat, grapes, or cabbage have lower F1-scores, ranging from 61% (wheat and grapes) to 77% (maize). Those crops seem to be less distinguishable. It is clear the minor classes have been completely misclassified or overlooked by the classification model. In order to further improve the crop classification accuracy, more field visits should be arranged and the training dataset of each type of crop should be balanced statistically and spatially.

In addition to enhance the agricultural production management, the classified image is helpful for the other agriculture related sectors. The irrigation management is of importance in this region. The water needs and water use efficiency of the region will be calculated with crop type map in order to improve the water managements. The precision agriculturalmeteorological service requires the crop type maps in the region as well and then the agro-meteorological forecast for the crop growth stage may be improved. The early warning of agricultural meteorological disaster for the concrete crop type may be provided precisely. With the implementation of this dragon project, the crop type mapping practice is expected to be further improved and the users may be easily benefited from the Sent2Agri system.

References

ting crop yields and production by integrating the FAO Crop Specific Water Balance model with real-time satellite data and ground-based ancillary data [J]. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 2000, 21(18):3487-3508.

- LIU M W, OZDOGAN M, ZHU X. Crop type classification by simultaneous use of satellite images of different resolutions
 [J]. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience & Remote Sensing, 2014, 52(6):3637-3649.
- BADHWAR G B. Automatic corn-soybean classification using Landsat MSS data. II. Early season crop proportion estimation
 [J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 1984, 14 (1-3); 31-37.
- [4] SAKAMOTO T, GITELSON A A, ARKEBAUER T J. Near real-time prediction of U.S. corn yields based on time-series MODIS data[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2014, 147 (147):219-231.
- [5] LUNETTA R S, JOHNSON D M, LYON J G, et al. Impacts of imagery temporal frequency on land-cover change detection monitoring[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2004, 89 (4):444-454.
- [6] CARRO H, GONALVES P, CAETANO M. Contribution of multispectral and multitemporal information from MODIS images to land cover classification [J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 2008, 112(3): 986-997.
- [7] BAUER M E, CIPRA J E, ANUTA P E, et al. Identification and area estimation of agricultural crops by computer classification of Landsat MSS data[J]. Remote Sensing of Environment, 1979, 8(1): 77-92.
- [8] OSMAN J, INGLADA J, DEJOUX J F. Assessment of a Markov logic model of crop rotations for early crop mapping
 [J]. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 2015, 113: 234-243.
- [9] GÓMEZ C, WHITE J C, WULDER M A. Optical remotely sensed time series data for land cover classification: A review [J]. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2016, 116: 55-72.
- [10] XIONG J, THENKABAIL P S, GUMMA M K, et al. Automated cropland mapping of continental Africa using Google Earth Engine cloud computing [J]. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 2017, 126: 225-244.
- [11] SENTINEL E S A. User Handbook [J]. ESA Standard Document, 2, 64.
- [12] MARTINS V S, BARBOSA C C F, DE CARVALHO L A S, et al. Assessment of atmospheric correction methods for Sentinel-2 MSI images applied to Amazon floodplain lakes[J]. Remote Sensing, 2017, 9(4): 322.
- [13] MANNHEIMER H. Landsat missions[J]. 1978.
- [14] ESA. Sentinel-2 for Agriculture [EB/OL]. [2015-09-18]. http://www.esa-sen2agri.org.
- [15] MCINERNEY D, KEMPENEERS P. Orfeotoolbox[M]//Open Source Geospatial Tools. Springer, Cham, 2015: 199-217.
- [16] ESA. ESA Sentinels—Sentinel-2: operations ramp-up phase [EB/OL]. [2015-08-21]. https:///sentinel.esa.int/web/ sentinel/missions/sentinel-2/operations-ramp-up-phase.