

# Ditransitive argument structure constructions in FLT

Sabine De Knop & Fabio Mollica

*Université Saint-Louis Bruxelles*

*Università degli Studi di Milano*

[sabine.deknop@usaintlouis.be](mailto:sabine.deknop@usaintlouis.be)

[fabio.mollica@unimi.it](mailto:fabio.mollica@unimi.it)



# 1. Introduction

- Many studies on ditransitive construction (DC) or double-object-construction (DOC)

DC: Goldberg (1992, 1995 und 2006); Gropen et al. (1989); Levin (1993);  
Rappaport Hovav & Levin 2008

DOC: Cosma et al. (2014)

- Most studies for English, few studies for German:
  - Wegener (1985): possible verbs in DC;
  - Welke (2011); Zifonun, Hoffmann & Strecker (1997)
- All theoretical except
  - Baten & De Cuypere (2014): DOC in Dutch + Germ.
  - De Cuypere et al. (2014): Object order with Russian learners of English

## OUR STUDY:

- Empirical study about difficulties with learning of DC for Italian learners of German, more specifically about **V with 2 ACC in the DC**
- Role of corpus data to foster the learning process

## 2. Definition of ditransitive construction

E.g. (1) *Der Weihnachtsmann schenkt den Kindern Spielzeug*  
(Lit.) 'The father Christmas offers to the children toys'  
SUBJECT VERB INDIRECT OBJ (IO) DIRECT OBJ (DO)  
(AGENT) (CAUSE-RECEIVE) (RECIPIENT) (THEME)

### In Construction Grammar:

- **Syntax:** [Subject Verb IndirectObj DirectObj]
- **Semantics:** CAUSE-RECEIVE <agt rec pat> (Goldberg 1995: 49)

## 2. Definition of ditransitive construction

E.g. (1) *Der Weihnachtsmann schenkt den Kindern Spielzeug*

(Lit.) 'The father Christmas offers to the children toys'

### ➤ Prototypical semantics:

- Expression of real transfer between **volitional agent** and **willing recipient**
- **IO** must be living being
- Germ. V in prototypical DC with ditransitive valency + ditransitive argument structure, e.g. *geben* 'to give', *schenken* 'to offer as a present', *zeigen* 'to show', *verkaufen* 'to sell', etc.

### ➤ In German morpho-syntactic **case-marking**

- **IO** in dative case
- **DO** in accusative case

### 3. Contrastive analysis German-Italian

#### 3.1. German

- Flexibility in order of objects (Malchukov et al. (2007), Müller (1999) or Sauermann & Höhle (2018))

A. “unmarked order” of constituents (Lenerz 1977): [IO-DO]

(1)	<i>Der Weihnachtsmann</i>	<i>schenkt</i>	<i>den Kindern</i>	<i>Spielzeug</i>
	SUBJECT (AGENT)	VERB	INDIRECT OBJ (IO)	DIRECT OBJ (DO)
	(Lit.) ‘The father Christmas	offers	to the children	toys’

## B. Alternative order [DO-IO] also possible

### ➤ Conditions

- DO definite, IO indefinite (Malchukov et al. 2007)  
E.g. (2) *Ich gab den Apfel einem Kind* ('I gave the apple to a child')

DO            IO

- Length of NP (Lenerz 1977)
- Degree of animacy (Müller 1999)
- Information status (theme/rheme) (Lenerz 1977)

“...preference for placing definite before indefinite, animate before inanimate, long before short, given before new, or non-focused before focused constituents.” (Sauermann & Höhle 2018: 1)

## C. Nominal vs. pronominal objects

(3)	<i>Der Weihnachtsmann</i>	<i>schenkt</i>	<i>dem kleinen Jungen</i> [IO - NP]	<i>ein Spielzeugauto</i> [DO - NP]
	The Christmas man	offers	to the little boy	a toy car
(4)	<i>Der Weihnachtsmann</i>	<i>schenkt</i>	<i>es</i> [DO - PRO]	<i>dem kleinen Jungen</i> [IO - NP]
			<i>it</i>	<i>to the little boy</i>
(5)	<i>Der Weihnachtsmann</i>	<i>schenkt</i>	<i>ihm</i> [IO - PRO]	<i>ein Spielzeugauto</i> [DO - NP]
			<i>to him</i>	a toy car
(6)	<i>Der Weihnachtsmann</i>	<i>schenkt</i>	<i>es</i> [DO - PRO]	<i>ihm</i> [IO - PRO]
			<i>it</i>	<i>to him</i>
(7)	<i>Der Weihnachtsmann</i>	<i>schenkt</i>	<i>ihm</i> [IO - PRO]	<i>das</i> [DO - DEMONSTRATIVE PRO]
			<i>to him</i>	<i>that</i>

## 3.2. Italian

Unmarked order of objects → opposite of German

(8)	<i>Maria</i>	<i>dà</i>	<i>una rosa</i> [DO - NP]	<i>a sua madre</i> [IO - PP]
	<i>Maria</i>	<i>gives</i>	<i>a rose</i>	<i>to her mother</i>
(9)	<i>Maria</i>	<i>la</i> [DO - PRO]	<i>dà</i>	<i>a sua madre</i> [DO - NP]
	<i>Maria</i>	<i>it</i>	<i>gives</i>	<i>to her mother</i>
(10)	<i>Maria</i>	<i>gli/le</i> [IO - PRO]	<i>dà</i>	<i>una rosa</i> [DO - NP]
	<i>Maria</i>	<i>to him/to her</i>	<i>gives</i>	<i>a rose</i>
(11)	<i>Maria</i>	<i>gliela</i> [IO+DO - PRO]	<i>dà</i>	
	<i>Maria</i>	<i>to him it</i>	<i>gives</i>	
(12)	<i>Maria</i>	<i>la</i> [DO - PRO]	<i>dà</i>	<i>a lei</i> [IO - PRO]
	<i>Maria</i>	<i>it</i>	<i>gives</i>	<i>to her</i>

## 4. Learning issues for Italian learners of German

- Difference in order of objects between German and Italian
- Also differences whether nominal/pronominal
  
- “Constructional polysemy” (Goldberg 1995: 33)
- “Constructional variants” (Symanczyk Joppe, Külpmann & Neuhaus 2020: 15)

## A. non-ditransitive V also possible in DC

“intended transfer” (Goldberg 1995: 141),

e.g. (13) *The mother baked her daughter a cake.*

(Goldberg 1995: 38ff; Proost 2014: 27ff),

e.g. *bewilligen* ‘to grant’, *verweigern* ‘to refuse’, *erlauben* ‘to allow’

## B. V with ditransitive semantics with double accusative object (2ACC)

ACC both for **theme** and **recipient**

*lehren* ‘to teach’, *fragen* ‘to ask’, *abhören* ‘to intercept’, *abfragen* ‘to query’

➤ V of teaching (Abraham 1983: 51ff)

→ Focus of this presentation

## 4.1. Empirical study about learning issues with DC

➤ **Aim:** check difficulties with objects in German DC for Italian learners

### ➤ Participants

- 50 Students of Dept *Mediación Lingüística e cultural* at Università degli Studi di Milano
- 3rd semester, proficiency level B1/B2
- Students have learned German DC with traditional manuals
- All tests on moodle-platform of University of Milano, work by themselves for pretest (and long-term posttest)

# Pretest (Part 1)

➤ **Starting point:** collection of ditransitive V in E-VALBU

➤ **Test design:** build a sentence with word mix in italics (10 sentences)

(14) Peter und seine Schwester sind beim Kaffeetrinken: *erzählen* – *er* – *seine Schwester* – *eine spannende Geschichte*.

(lit. ‘Peter and his sister are having coffee: *tell* - *him* - *his sister* - *an exciting story*’)

→ ditransitive V

(15) Wir sind im einem Spanischkurs in Rom: *lehren* – *der Dozent* – *die spanische Sprache* – *die Italiener*.

(lit. ‘We are in a Spanish course in Rome: *teaching* - *the lecturer* - *the Spanish language* - *the Italians*’)

→ not ditransitive V, but ditransitive argument structure/semantics

# Results of Pretest (Part 1)

51 Participants, 10 sentences Total 504 valid answers	Constructions	Frequency
Correct answers	285	56,5%
Mistakes		
- Wrong argument structure	97	19,2 %
- Wrong order of objects	19	3,8%
- Wrong case with dative object	48	9,5%
Wrong case with accusative object	35	6,9%
- Wrong preposition	84	16,7%
- <i>Lehren</i> with Dative, ACC	31	6,2 %

## Pretest (Part 2)

### ➤ Test design

- 10 ditransitive sentences
- Prompt: please express the objects in italics with pronouns

(16) Die Mutter hat *ihrem Sohn die neue Playstation* geschenkt. [DAT OBJ + ACC OBJ]

(lit. 'The mother has to her son a new playstation offered')

(17) Der Dozent lehrt *die Studenten die deutsche Sprache*. [2ACC]

(lit. 'The lecturer teaches the students the German language')

## Results of Pretest (Part 2)

51 participants, 10 sentences Total 479 valid answers	constructions	frequency
Correct pronouns	251	52,4%
Mistakes		
- Wrong order of objects	124	25,9%
- Discontinuous order of objects*	19	4,0%
- Wrong case with dative	24	5,0%
- Wrong case with accusative	6	1,3%
- <i>Lehren</i> with Dat + Acc	37	7,7%

\* E.g. (18) *Großmütter erzählen ihnen gerne ihm*  
(lit. 'Grandmothers tell to them gladly to him')

## 4.2. DC with verbs with 2 ACC in grammar books

- **Helbig/Buscha (1999):**

*abfragen, fragen, kosten, lehren, nennen, rufen, schelten, schimpfen, heißen*

→ V with double ACC; no explanation

- **Hentschel/Weydt (2013):**

*lehren, kosten, nennen* → V with double ACC; discussed in section about ‘Rektion’

- **Duden Grammatik (2009: 935):**

*lehren, abfragen, abhören:* with 2 ACC. OBJ.

Also *bitten, kosten, fragen, nennen, heißen*.

With *lehren* tendency to have IO in DAT, especially in passive

## 4.3. DC with verbs with 2 ACC in manuals



*Das Haus kostete mich ein Vermögen.*

↓                    ↓                    ↓  
Subjekt      Ergänzung      Ergänzung  
Nominativ     Akkusativ     Akkusativ

→ Einige wenige Verben bilden Sätze mit zwei Ergänzungen im Akkusativ, z. B.: *kosten*, *nennen*, *lehren*.

B-Grammatik B1-B2  
Busca/Szita (2011)

### Verben mit zwei Akkusativen

- Es gibt nur ganz wenige Verben, die zwei Akkusativergänzungen haben können.

Infinitiv	Ergänzung	Beispielsatz
lehren	AKK, AKK	Sein Vater lehrte ihn das Klavierspielen.
nennen	AKK, AKK	Er nannte den Journalisten einen Schmierfinken.
kosten	AKK, AKK (oft)	Der Umbau des Hauses kostet den Eigentümer ein Vermögen.
schimpfen	AKK, AKK	Die Fans schimpften den Schiedsrichter eine Niete.

## 4.3. DC with verbs with 2 ACC in manuals

### Verben mit zwei Ergänzungen im Akkusativ

abfragen • kosten • lehren • nennen • schimpfen

Soll ich dich Vokabeln abfragen?

Sein Vater lehrte ihn das Klavierspielen.

Er nannte den Journalisten einen Schmierfinken.

Es gibt nur wenige Verben, die zwei Akkusativergänzungen haben können.

C-Grammatik C1-C2  
Busca/Szita/Raven (2017)

### IV Verben mit zwei Akkusativen

#### Regel ►

Nur wenige Verben werden mit zwei Akkusativen gebraucht.  
Die wichtigsten sind: *kosten, lehren, nennen, schelten, schimpfen*.

	Akkusativ 1	Akkusativ 2	
Der Gast nennt	den Koch	einen Künstler.	
Die Wette hat	mich	100 Dollar	gekostet.
Er schimpfte	ihn	einen Betrüger.	

Dreyer/Schmitt (2012)

## 4.4. DC with verbs with 2 ACC in the scientific literature

### Syntactic constraints for 2 ACC:

- Use of V in active or passive?
- Lang (2007: 5)

(19) *Der Lehrer lehrt die Kinder die Vokabel* [active, 2ACC]  
(lit. 'The teacher teaches the children the foreign vocabulary')

→ACC (*die Vokabel*) becomes subject (*die Vokabel*) in passive sentence

(20) *Die Vokabel werden die Kinder gelehrt* [passive, Subj in front position]  
(lit. 'The vocabulary is the children taught')

(21) *Den Kindern werden die Vokabel gelehrt.* [passive, Subj not in front position]  
(lit. 'To the children is the vocabulary taught')

- Zifonun (1997: 1084) (+ IDS-Grammar)
  - Tendency to avoid such C and replace ACC for REC by DAT or PREP COMPL
  - In passive C the ACC-Obj in the active cannot become SUBJ if REC remains in ACC. (Zifonun 1997: 1085)
    - (22) \*Der englische Walzer wird heute die Mädchen gelehrt.  
(lit.) 'The English Waltz is today the girls taught'

→ Need to check all the claims with corpus data

- First smaller study with corpus data by Lang (2007: 8):
  - Collection of 3678 exs with *lehren* in DEREKO ('to teach')
  - 428 (= 12 %): 2 ACC
  - 216 (= 6 %): DAT + ACC
- But: still very tentative

## 5. Our study with corpus data

Aim: check if *lehren* (and other V with 2 ACC) used with REC in ACC or DAT

- 500 examples of DC with *lehren* in the corpus detenten20 of the Sketchengine
- *lehren*: 2 possible meanings

(a) to teach someone something

(23) *Die neue Lehrerin lehrte die Kinder das Stricken*  
(lit.) ‘The new teacher taught the children the knitting’

(b) to show something

(24) *Die Geschichte lehrt, dass nur in Friedenszeiten Wohlstand herrscht*  
(lit.) ‘The history teaches/shows that only in peaceful times prosperity reigns’

→ meaning (a) is relevant for our study

GRAMM. ANALYSIS	NUMBER OF HITS	CONSTR. DETAILS	FREQUENCY
2 ACC for REC + THEME	20		7.9
1 DAT REC + 1 ACC THEME	12		4.7
1 ACC for REC	9		3.6
1 ACC for THEME	64		25.3
1 DAT for REC	1		0.4
1 ACC for REC + subordinate sentence	39	,sie': 4 ,mich': 30 ,ihn': 2 ,jeden einzelnen': 1 Nomen: 2	15.4
CASE UNCLEAR (Pro ,uns'/'euch' or noun)	23	,uns': 20 ,euch': 2 Nomen: 1	9
CASE UNCLEAR (Pro ,uns'/'euch') + subordinate sentence	20	,uns': 19 ,euch': 1	7.9
PASSIVE SENTENCE	65		25.7
	<b>253</b>		<b>100 %</b>

REC in ACC:  
26.9 %

REC in DAT:  
5,1 %

## Discussion

- Differentiated picture with possible uses and syntactic varieties (with subordinate sentence which functions as object)
- Most examples have REC in ACC (26.9%), but DAT is also possible (5.1%)
- In line with Lang's study (2007)
  
- Duden Grammar (2009: 935):  
With *lehren* tendency to have IO in DAT, especially in passive
- Check this in the corpus data

Arguments in passive sentences	Number of examples	Frequency
THEME in ACC in active => SUBJ in NOM in passive	44	68 %
REC in DAT in passive	2	3 %
<i>In den Schulen/an der Uni/in Klasse...</i>	19	29 %
TOTAL PASSIVE SENTENCES	65	100 %

(25) ...ist das die Übung, wo *einem* gelehrt wird, ein Meister zu sein.

(lit.)... is this the exercise, where someone is taught, a master to be.

(26) Und weiter soll *ihnen* das Evangelium gelehrt werden.

(lit.) And further should to them the Gospel taught be.

➤Data show a different picture (<> Duden)

## 6. Conclusions

- Our study has shown that it is necessary to look at corpus data to get a **more authentic picture** of possible uses
  - Possibility to express the **REC also in DAT** which sounds more natural
  - Information about variety of syntactic structures, not present in grammar books or manuals
- **Consequences for FLT:** accept different possibilities from our students
- Our study is still very tentative and needs to analyze more examples to get a better picture
- Check other V with 2 ACC (abhören, abfragen, ...)



二〇〇六年九月

[sabine.deknop@usaintlouis.be](mailto:sabine.deknop@usaintlouis.be)

[fabio.mollica@unimi.it](mailto:fabio.mollica@unimi.it)

De Knop, Sabine & Fabio Mollica (fc. 2023), *Ditransitive Argumentstrukturkonstruktionen im DaF-Unterricht*. In Klaus Welke, Markus Felfe & Dagobert Hölein (Hrsg.), *Regelbasierte Konstruktionsgrammatik*.



*Musterbasiertheit vs. Idiomatizität?* Berlin: de Gruyter.



## References (partim)

- Abraham, Werner (1983): Der Dativ im Deutschen. *Colloque du Centre de recherches germaniques*. de l'Université de Nancy II, 2–101.
- Baten, Kristof & Ludovic De Cuyper (2014): The dative alternation in L2 German? Conceptualization transfer from L1 Dutch. *Vigo International Journal of Applied Linguistics* 11, 9–40. <https://revistas.webs.uvigo.es/index.php/vial/article/view/60/60> (letzter Zugriff 20.03.2022).
- De Cuyper, Ludovic, Evelyn De Coster & Kristof Baten (2014): The acquisition of the English dative alternation by Russian Foreign Language Learners. *Phrasis. Studies in Language and Literature*, vol. 2009-2010 (2): 187–212.
- De Knop, Sabine & Gaëtanelle Gilquin (2016): *Applied Construction Grammar*. Berlin; Boston: De Gruyter.
- De Knop, Sabine & Fabio Mollica (2016): A construction-based study of German ditransitive phraseologisms for language pedagogy. In Sabine De Knop & Gaëtanelle Gilquin (Hrsg.): *Applied Construction Grammar*, 53–87. Berlin, New York: De Gruyter Mouton.
- Duden (2016): *Die Grammatik*. 9. vollständig überarbeitete und aktualisierte Auflage. Berlin: Dudenverlag.
- Goldberg, Adele E. (1992): The inherent semantics of argument structure: The case of the English ditransitive construction. *Cognitive Linguistics* 3, 37–74.
- Goldberg, Adele E. (1995): *Constructions. A Construction Grammar Approach to Argument Structure*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Goldberg, Adele E. (2006): *Constructions at Work: The Nature of Generalization in Language*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Goldberg, Adele E. (2019): *Explain Me This: Creativity, Competition and the Partial Productivity of Constructions*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gropen, Jess, Steven Pinker, Michelle Hollander, Richard Goldberg & Ronald Wilson (1989): The learnability and acquisition of the dative alternation in English. *Language* 65 (2), 203–257.
- Gropen, Jesse, Steven Pinker, Michelle Hollander & Richard Goldberg (1991): Affectedness and direct objects: the role of lexical semantics in the acquisition of verb argument structure. *Cognition*, 41(1–3), 153–95.
- Haspelmath, Martin (2004): Explaining the Ditransitive Person-Role Constraint: A usage-based approach. *Constructions* 2.
- Haspelmath, Martin (2007): Ditransitive alignment splits and inverse alignment. *Functions of Language* 14 (1), 79–102.
- Herbst, Thomas (2011): The Status of Generalizations: Valency and Argument Structure Constructions. *Zeitschrift für Anglistik und Amerikanistik* 59 (4), 347–367.
- Herbst, Thomas (2014): The valency approach to argument structure constructions. In Herbst, Thomas, Hans-Jörg Schmid & Susan Faulhaber (Hrsg.), *Constructions, Collocations, Patterns*, 159–207. Berlin: Walter De Gruyter.
- Höllein, Dagobert (2019): *Präpositionalobjekt vs. Adverbial: die semantischen Rollen der Präpositionalobjekte*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Isurin, Ludmila (2005): Cross Linguistic Transfer in Word Order: Evidence from L1 Forgetting and L2 Acquisition. *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on Bilingualism*, ed. James Cohen, Kara T. McAlister, Kellie Rolstad, and Jeff MacSwan, 1115–1130. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.

- Jäschke, Katja & Ingo Plag (2016): The dative alternation in German-English interlanguage. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 38, 485–521.
- Lang, Peter (2007): Grammatik und Norm: Direktes Objekt, indirektes Objekt und der doppelte Akkusativ. Seminararbeit Universität Zürich, Deutsches Seminar zum Thema Grammatik und Norm, Wintersemester 2006/07.
- Lenerz, Jürgen (1977): *Zur Abfolge nominaler Satzglieder im Deutschen*. Tübingen: Narr.
- Levin, Beth (1993): *English verb classes and alternations*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Malchukov, Andrej, Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie (2007): Ditransitive constructions: a typological overview. In Andrej Malchukov, Martin Haspelmath & Bernard Comrie (Hrsg.), *Studies in Ditransitive Constructions*, 1–64. Berlin: Mouton De Gruyter.
- Mollica, Fabio & Julia Kuhn (2013): Konstruktionen mit freien Dativen in der Konstruktions- und Valenzgrammatik. In Sabine De Knop, Fabio Mollica & Julia Kuhn (Hrsg.), *Konstruktionsgrammatik in den romanischen Sprachen*, 227–259. Frankfurt: Peter Lang.
- Plank, Frans (1987): Direkte indirekte Objekte, oder: Was uns *lehren* lehrt. *Leuvense Bijdragen* 76, 37-61.
- Proost, Kristel (2014): Ditransitive transfer constructions and their prepositional variants in German and Romanian: An empirical survey. In Ruxandra Cosma, Stefan Engelberg, Susan Schlotthauer, Speranta Stănescu & Gisela Zifonun (Hrsg.), *Komplexe Argumentstrukturen - Kontrastive Untersuchungen zum Deutschen, Rumänischen und Englischen*, 19–83. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Rappaport Hovav, Malka & Beth Levin (2008): The English dative alternation: The cause for verb sensitivity. *Journal of Linguistics* 44, 129-167.
- Rauth, Philipp (2020): *Ditransitive Konstruktionen im Deutschen: Geschichte und Steuerung der Objektabfolge im Mittelfeld*. Tübingen: Stauffenburg Verlag.
- Sauermann, Antje & Barbara Höhle (2018): Word order in German child language and child directed speech: A corpus analysis on the ordering of double objects in the German middlefield. *Glossa: a journal of general linguistics* 3(1), 1–32.
- Symanczyk Joppe, Vilma, Robert Külpmann & Laura Neuhaus (2020): Valenz, Konstruktion und Aufgabenteilung zwischen beiden: ein Überblick. In Robert Külpmann, Laura Neuhaus & Vilma Symanczyk Joppe (Hrsg.), *Variation in der Argumentstruktur des Deutschen*, Sonderheft von *Linguistische Berichte* 28, 5–26.
- Wegener, Heide (1985): *Der Dativ im heutigen Deutsch*. Tübingen: Narr.
- Welke, Klaus (2009): Konstruktionsvererbung, Valenzvererbung und die Reichweite von Konstruktionen. *Zeitschrift für Germanistische Linguistik* 37(3), 514–543.
- Welke, Klaus (2011): *Valenzgrammatik. Eine Einführung*. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Welke, Klaus (2019): *Konstruktionsgrammatik des Deutschen*. Ein sprachgebrauchsbezogener Ansatz. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Welke, Klaus (2013): Konstruktionsgrammatik (KxG) und Deutsch als Fremdsprache. *Deutsch als Fremdsprache* 50, 19–27.
- Zifonun, Gisela, Ludger Hoffmann & Bruno Strecker (1997): *Grammatik der deutschen Sprache*. Berlin: De Gruyter.