"Improving post-editing and automatic translation by the creation of phraseological databases: an experiment"

Colson, Jean-Pierre

Abstract
In spite of the success of phraseology across a range of linguistic disciplines such as corpus linguistics, discourse analysis or semantics, it may come as a surprise that the notion is hardly mentioned in Translation Studies. Delisle (2003), for instance, treats set phrases as part of the lexicon. They are also most conspicuously absent from the major reference work in the field, the Routledge Encyclopedia of Translation Studies (Baker and Saldanha 2011). The same holds true of collocations. As a matter of fact, the interest for phraseology in translation studies came mainly from the European Society for Phraseology (Europhras) and from corpus linguistics (e.g. Teubert 2002). Computational linguistics, in its turn, is showing a growing interest for matters involving translation and collocations in the broad sense. It is now generally recognised that phraseology poses a serious problem to machine translation (MT), because it involves a higher semantic level that cannot be grasped by ...
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We refer here to phraseology as the study of set phrases in the broadest sense, including partly fixed phrases (routines and formulae, collocations), and also very fixed phrases (idioms and proverbs).

The notion of phraseology is now used across a wide range of linguistic disciplines: Phraseology (proper), Corpus Linguistics, Discourse Analysis, Pragmatics, Cognitive Linguistics, Computational Linguistics (...)
Google search results for various phraseology topics.
What about Translation Studies?

Most publications on Translation Studies mention the problem of *expressions / idioms / collocations* but they do not refer to *phraseology* as a theory or discipline.

Example 1: Delisle, J. (2003). *La traduction raisonnée: expressions* are treated as a part of the lexicon.
Example 2: phraseology is also most conspicuously absent from a major reference work in the field, the *Routledge Encyclopedia of Translation Studies* (Baker and Saldanha 2011), and the same holds true of collocations.
The interest for phraseology (at least for collocations) in translation studies came mainly from corpus linguistics (example: W. Teubert, 2002. The Role of Parallel Corpora in Translation and Multilingual Lexicography).
On the other hand, computational linguistics is now showing a growing interest for phraseology, particularly against the backdrop of automatic translation.

The notion of *multiword unit* comes close to that of phraseology in the broad sense, but it also includes *lexical bundles* (Doug Biber), n-grams selected on the sole basis of their recurrent frequency (20 to 40 PMW, cfr. Biber, Conrad et Cortes 2004).
Several studies have clearly demonstrated that phraseology in the broad sense remains problematic for automatic or semi-automatic translation:

* Barreiro, A. et al. (2013). When Multiwords Go Bad in Machine Translation
* The general picture is that even the best systems (Google Translate, OpenLogos, Systran, etc.) produce erroneous translations in about 50 percent of the cases
Example: results from Barreiro et al. (2013) with OpenLogos and Google Translate (language combinations: English, French, Italian, Portuguese)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Lang pair</th>
<th>OK</th>
<th>ERR</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>EN-FR</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN-IT</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN-PT</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>EN-FR</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN-IT</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EN-PT</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recent examples from Google Translate
Drip, drip, drip, and the next thing you know, democracy has been washed away.
La réforme de l'État, l'Arlésienne du quinquennat
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Thierry Mandon, avec Manuel Valls et Najat Vallaud-Belkacem, à l'Elysée, après le conseil des ministres, le 18 juin.
Passer à la vitesse supérieure. C'est dans cet état d'esprit que Thierry Mandon accomplit ses premiers pas de secrétaire d'État à la réforme de l'État et à la simplification. Nommé à ce poste le 3 juin, l'ex-porte-parole du groupe PS à l'Assemblée nationale hérite d'un dossier – la modernisation de l'action publique (MAP) – qui n'a pas produit les résultats espérés.
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Thierry Mandon, Manuel Valls and Najat Vallaud-Belkacem, at the Elysee Palace after the cabinet meeting on June 18
Move up a gear. It is in this spirit that Thierry Mandon takes his first steps as Secretary of State for State reform and simplification. Appointed to this position on June 3, the former spokesman for the PS group in the National Assembly inherits a record - modernization of public policy (MAP) - which did not produce the expected results.
The underlying causes of these shortcomings have been clearly identified by the previously mentioned studies. The main reason is the insufficient inventory of all set phrases in the broad sense by lexicographic reference works, even for major languages such as English. An essential first step in order to improve the quality of automatic translation, and also to facilitate post-editing, is therefore improving the efficiency of the automatic extraction of phraseology.
In our experiment, we have tried to start from a web corpus of reasonable size (200 MW), a portion of the ukWacky corpus (Baroni et al. 2009)

All n-grams (from size 2 to 9) were extracted and filtered by a combination of frequency (>2) and a new statistical score: the Corpus Proximity Ratio (CPR, J.-P. Colson 2014), measuring the degree of attraction between the component parts of an n-gram
The Corpus Proximity Ratio (CPR), J.-P. Colson 2014

\[
cpr = \frac{n \left( x_{i_1} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} \ldots x_{i_n} \right)}{n \left( \max \left( \left\| x_i - x_j \right\| \right) \right)} \leq W
\]

\[
x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, \ldots x_{i_n}
\]
Examples: *take the road, hit the road, fork in the road* (PerlPr)

A database of about 400,000 English candidate collocations has been assembled that way; it lies on the border between low-frequency lexical bundles and phraseology.

Examples: *a bit, art, back to, bank, barrel, bridge, bring, jet, road*
CPR meets four criteria recommended by Gries (2013) for the improvement of automatic extraction of collocations:

- The measure is directional
- The methodology uses recurrence across corpora
- It is extendable (extended) to multiword expressions
- There may be a psycholinguistic foundation in the Firthian principle of attraction, and in the comparison with association databases
Apart from collocations and different types of set phrases, the method makes it possible to extract all kinds of semantic associations between words; for instance, in the case of associations with the English word *art*: *archaeology and art, art and antiques, art and architecture, art and craft, art and culture, art and design, art and science, art at its very best*, etc.
Although more results are necessary, this also seems to corroborate the psycholinguistic grounding of this methodology: it not only produces collocations and idioms, but also common associations derived from society and culture.

As also pointed out by Gries (2013), a crucial step in the validation of automatic extraction algorithms is the comparison with reliable psycholinguistic association databases, such as those of the University of South Florida Association Norms (http://w3.usf.edu/FreeAssociation).
In the case of art, the list of overlapping collocations (about 40 percent) includes (in the same order as in the Association norms): crafts, museum, craft, design, contemporary, science, ceramics, canvas, drama, modern, culture, critic, skill, ceramic, original, performance, architecture, project.

This raises another crucial question for IR and NLP: to what extent are psycholinguistic associations interwoven with phraseology?
From a theoretical point of view, the automatic extraction of phraseology poses the question of the statistical nature of language, a crucial issue in statistical machine translation.

According to the Zipfian law (Zipf 1949), the general distribution of words displays a very limited number of high frequency items, a fair amount of average frequency words, and a long tail of words with extremely low frequency (an army of dwarves).
Although the matter is still controversial, Zipf himself interpreted his law as evidence for the *principle of least effort* in language.

This principle is closely related to the *principle of economy*: language re-uses the same elements in order to avoid linguistic inflation (Martinet’s *double articulation* at the level of morphemes and phonemes, polysemy, phraseology).
In 1953, the mathematician Mandelbrot proposed a slight correction to Zipf’s law; the law of Zipf-Mandelbrot is now the most widely used version

\[ f(w) = \frac{C}{(r(w) + b)^a} \]

where \( f(w) \) represents the frequency of a word, and \( r(w) \) its frequency rank. \( C \) and \( a \) are constants that are set empirically according to the data. \( C \) is normally set to the highest frequency value obtained and \( a \) has been set at 1.09 for the British National Corpus. As for \( b \), Baroni (2008) recommends an empirical adaptation by increasing it according to the results, with a typical increase of \( b=1 \) for the 20 highest frequency ranks.
Mandelbrot’s interpretation of Zipf’s law was precisely that word combinations in language follow statistical principles; to put it differently, the basis for phraseology is (largely) statistical...

If this is the case, n-gram frequencies should also display a Zipfian distribution

This is precisely suggested by Baroni (2008)
Experiments tend to show that this is indeed the case, even at the level of small texts

Example:

“I normally drink between 18 and 24 units per week. I consider the week worryingly quiet if I haven’t had cause to drink one bottle of wine by Wednesday. Tuesday feels far enough into the week to be a heavy night, then the next night is usually a day of relative rest. If I don’t have another big night on Thursday, I will let loose on Friday or Saturday. That’ll be a good bottle. Sunday is generally a recovery night. I pondered the dry week ahead. I realised I had not had one in four years. I felt like a voyager setting off to a featureless land. At 23, did I really need alcohol to enjoy my evenings?” (The Times Online, August 03, 2005)
x stands for the log_{10} of the rank of the items (shown in decreasing order of frequency) and y for the log of the frequency for each item. If the Zipf-Mandelbrot principle applies here, such a log-log table should (for mathematical reasons) display a straight line, with an abrupt fall at the right end of the table and some minor irregularities along the line (Mandelbrot’s corrections).

The next figure presents the log-log results for the bigrams (BigramsDrinking), as well as two projections according to the law of Zipf-Mandelbrot. We follow here the same method as Ha/Sicilia-Garcia/Ming/et al. (2002), who have computed bigram frequencies for the whole Brown Corpus (1,000,000 words): no b factor is used, and a is set to 0.76 (in the legend of Figure 1: ZipfMan076). By way of comparison, Figure 1 also presents a projection with a=1 (ZipfMan1).
From the point of view of translation, a database of (even partial) phraseological n-grams can be useful for extracting potentially problematic structures.

It could also find a place in a simplified semantic model.
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