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In the Standard Model for electroweak interactions, the Higgs sector is known to display a “custodial” symmetry protecting the mass relation $m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_W^2$ from large corrections. When considering extensions of the scalar sector, this symmetry has to be introduced by hand in order to pass current electroweak precision tests in a natural way. In this Letter we implement a generalized custodial symmetry in the two-Higgs-doublet model. Assuming the invariance of the potential under $CP$ transformations, we prove the existence of a new custodial scenario characterized by $m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_W^2$ instead of $m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{A^0}^2$. Consequently, the pseudoscalar $A^0$ may be much lighter than the charged $H^\pm$, giving rise to interesting phenomenology.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the Standard Model (SM) for electroweak interactions, the spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism is known to have important phenomenological consequences on the bosonic sector of the theory. They can be inferred [1] from the high degree of symmetry of the most general renormalizable scalar potential built for one Higgs doublet transforming under the local $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. Gauge invariance implies indeed an accidental $SO(4)$ symmetry acting upon the four components of the complex doublet. Through the Higgs mechanism, this global symmetry is spontaneously broken into $SO(3)$ under which the triplet $(\pi^\pm, \pi_3)$ of Goldstone bosons transforms. However, this $SO(3)$ symmetry is explicitly broken by the electroweak gauge couplings $g_L$ and $g_Y$. In particular, the mass relation

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{A^0}^2 \left( \frac{g_L^2}{g_L^2 + g_Y^2} \right)$$

(1)

tells us that a massive triplet of vector bosons is only recovered in the limit of vanishing $g_Y$. A massless triplet including the charged $W^\pm$ and the photon can also form, but in the even less realistic limit of vanishing $g_L$. Yet, the $SO(3)$ symmetry of the Higgs potential is called “custodial” [2] since it protects the relation $m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_W^2$ against loop corrections quadratic in the Higgs boson mass. These corrections might indeed conflict with the well-measured value of the $\rho$-parameter.

In the Minimal Supersymmetric extension of the Standard Model (MSSM), one additional Higgs doublet is required in order to cancel the gauge anomalies induced by the fermionic superpartners. This implies the existence of five spin-zero physical states: a charged pair $H^\pm$ and three neutral ones. Given that the MSSM scalar potential is $CP$-invariant, the $h^0$ and $H^0$ are defined to be the scalars while $A^0$ is the pseudoscalar of the theory. From a phenomenological point of view, this $CP$ assignment allows the $ZZh^0$ and $ZZH^0$ vertices but forbids the $ZZA^0$ one at the classical level. The general MSSM scalar potential is however not invariant under the custodial symmetry due to the presence of a $D$-term proportional to $g_L^2$. This gauge term lifts degeneracy of the $H^\pm$ and $A^0$ states, as can be seen from the tree-level mass relation

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{A^0}^2 + m_{W}^2.$$ (2)

Consequently the custodial $SO(3)$ symmetry with its distinctive degenerate mass spectrum is restored either in the standard decoupling limit for $A^0$ and $H^\pm$ (see for example [3]) or in the unphysical limit where the left-handed gauge interactions are switched off (i.e., $g_L \rightarrow 0$).

In the two-Higgs-doublet model (2HDM), such limits are circumvented since the scalar potential does not depend on the electroweak gauge couplings. An explicit calculation [4] of the one-loop corrections to $m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_W^2$ in this model has shown that contributions quadratic in the Higgs bosons masses compensate each other in the limit where

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{A^0}^2,$$

(3)

namely if the charged $H^\pm$ and the neutral pseudoscalar behave as a triplet under the custodial $SO(3)$. Surprisingly, it has been noted [5] in the context of a rather peculiar $CP$-conserving 2HDM that these contributions could also cancel when

$$m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{W}^2.$$ (4)

In this case the mass degeneracy occurs between the charged $H^\pm$ and one neutral scalar. The purpose of this Letter is to show that this second scenario can be implemented in a natural way within a generalized custodial symmetry.

II. GENERALIZED CUSTODIAL SYMMETRY

Consider the 2HDM based on two $SU(2)_L$ doublets $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ with hypercharge $Y = +1$. Gauge invariance
allows us to define four independent Hermitian operators

\[ \hat{A} = \phi_1 \phi_1^\dagger, \quad \hat{B} = \phi_2 \phi_2^\dagger, \quad \hat{C} = \Re (\phi_1 \phi_2), \quad \hat{D} = \Im (\phi_1 \phi_2), \]

such that the most general scalar potential contains four linear and ten quadratic terms in \( \hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C} \) and \( \hat{D} \). Using the well-known reparametrization freedom for \((\phi_1, \phi_2)\) [6, 7], we can assume without loss of generality to be in the so-called “Higgs basis” where only \( \phi_1 \) gets a nonzero vacuum expectation value (vev):

\[ \langle \phi_1 \rangle = v \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \phi_2 \rangle = 0. \]  

In the SM, charge conservation is a direct consequence of the accidental \( SO(4) \) symmetry. Here, charge conservation has to be assumed and an \( SO(4) \) symmetry imposed. This global symmetry acting on the real components of \( \phi_1 \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \pi_1 + i \pi_2 \\ \sigma_0 + i \pi_3 \end{array} \right) \)

is isomorphic to \( SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \). The \( SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \) chiral symmetry acts on the \( [1/2, 1/2] \) representation \( M_1 \) of the Higgs doublet \( \phi_1 \)

\[ M_1 \rightarrow U_L M_1 U_R^\dagger \]

while \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is the discrete symmetry associated with the simultaneous change of sign of both left and right unitary matrices \( U_{L,R} \). As explicitly demonstrated in [3], the invariance of the vacuum under the diagonal subgroup \( SU(2)_{L+R} \) is necessary to ensure that relation \( m_{W_3}^2 = m_{W_3}^2 \) does not suffer from large (i.e., quadratic in the Higgs bosons masses) corrections at the one-loop level. This vectorlike subgroup is obviously isomorphic to the custodial \( SO(3) \) group. However, at this stage the chiral transformation for the \( [1/2, 1/2] \) representation \( M_2 \) of \( \phi_2 \) is not yet completely fixed. Indeed, only \( SU(2)_L \times SU(1)_Y \) is a local symmetry of the Lagrangian. For the bosonic sector of the theory, the conserved electric charge turns out to be \( Q = T^3_Q + T^3_R \) with \( T^3_R \) the diagonal generator of the global \( SU(2)_R \). So we still have the freedom to impose the invariance under

\[ M_2 \rightarrow U_L M_2 U_R^\dagger \]

with

\[ V_R = X^\dagger U_R X \]

if the two-by-two unitary matrix \( X \) commutes with \( \exp(i T^3_R) \), namely

\[ X = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i \frac{\gamma}{2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-i \frac{\gamma}{2}) \end{pmatrix}. \]  

It is straightforward to see that both \( \hat{A} \) and \( \hat{B} \) operators are invariant under the chiral transformations [9] and [10] while \( \hat{C} \) and \( \hat{D} \) are not if \( \gamma \) is an arbitrary parameter. Nevertheless the linear combination

\[ \hat{C}'' = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(M_1 X M_2^\dagger) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(M_2 X^\dagger M_1^\dagger) = \cos(\frac{\gamma}{2}) \hat{C} + \sin(\frac{\gamma}{2}) \hat{D} \]

is always invariant, no matter the value of \( \gamma \). Therefore, the most general custodial-invariant potential only contains three linear and six quadratic terms in \( \hat{A}, \hat{B} \) and \( \hat{C}'' \):

\[ V = -m_1 \hat{A} - m_2 \hat{B} - m_3 \hat{C}'' + \Lambda_1 \hat{A}^2 + \Lambda_2 \hat{B}^2 + \Lambda_3 \hat{C}''^2 + \Lambda_4 \hat{A} \hat{B} + \Lambda_5 \hat{A} \hat{C}'' + \Lambda_6 \hat{B} \hat{C}''. \]  

The minimization conditions are easily derived to be

\[ m_1 = \Lambda_1 v^2 \quad \text{and} \quad m_3 = \frac{\Lambda_3}{2} v^2. \]  

We shall use these relations to substitute \( \Lambda_1 \) and \( \Lambda_3 \) for \( m_1 \) and \( m_3 \), respectively.

The squared mass of \( \phi_2^\pm \) is given by

\[ m_{H^\pm}^2 = \frac{\Lambda_1}{2} v^2 - m_2. \]  

A suitable \( \gamma/2 \) rotation allows us to reduce the full three-by-three mass matrix for the neutral fields into a single mass term

\[ m_{H^\pm}^2 = m_{H^\pm}^2 \]  

for the state \( H_3 \equiv - \sin(\frac{\gamma}{2}) \Re (\phi_2^0) + \cos(\frac{\gamma}{2}) \Im (\phi_2^0) \) and a two-by-two mass matrix

\[ M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \Lambda_1 v^2 & \Delta \Lambda v^2 \\ \Delta \Lambda v^2 & m_{H^\pm}^2 + \frac{\Delta \Lambda v^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \]  

for \((H_1, H_2) \equiv (\Re (\phi_2^0), \cos(\frac{\gamma}{2}) \Re (\phi_2^0) - \sin(\frac{\gamma}{2}) \Im (\phi_2^0)) \). The \( H_3 \) is thus degenerate with \( H^\pm \) in a triplet of \( SO(3) \), a clear signature of the custodial character of the potential [11]. The \( H_{1,2} \) are singlets under this symmetry but mix if \( \Lambda_5 \neq 0 \). In order to identify these neutral states in terms of the usual \( CP \) eigenstates \( h^0, H^0 \) and \( A^0 \), we now have to consider the time-reversal transformation of the corresponding fields.

III. \( CP \) SYMMETRY

In the SM, the scalar potential automatically preserves \( CP \)-invariance. Such is not the case in the 2HDM. For
the twisted one requires \( \xi \) in the intermediate cases (i.e., \( \xi \) terms containing \( \hat{\phi}_i \) dependently of the \( \gamma \) parametrization). Consequently the four components of the potential (14) under the standard symmetry act as

\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_1 & \rightarrow \phi_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 \rightarrow -\phi_2
\end{align*}
\]

(22) in the scalar potential (21). In the Higgs basis, the vev of \( \phi_2 \) vanishes. So this discrete symmetry is left unbroken and could advantageously supersede the CP-invariance required on the scalar potential to bring interesting phenomenology. For illustration it would nicely reconcile two apparent features of the electroweak interactions, namely natural flavour conservation and explicit CP-violation in the Yukawa sector \( \bar{s} \), if all fermionic fields are even under \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Were this the case, the lightest neutral component of the \( \phi_2 \) doublet (i.e., \( H^0 \) or \( A^0 \)) would be a candidate for cold dark matter (see for example the inert doublet model in [10, 11]).

The twisted custodial scenario may also provide interesting phenomenology at colliders [12]. In particular, \( A^0 \) is no longer forced to be close in mass to the charged \( H^\pm \) and is no more subject to the LEP bound due to its CP assignment. So it may be relatively light and produced via the exotic \( H^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm A^0 \) process. Moreover, here \( h^0 \) is defined to be the CP-even component of \( \phi_1 \). Contrary to what is usually assumed in 2HDM studies, it can thus be heavier than all the other Higgs bosons and have atypical \( h^0 \rightarrow A^0 A^0, H^0 H^0, H^+ H^- \) decays.

To summarize, we have implemented a twisted custodial symmetry such that the usual mass relation \( m^2_{H^\pm} = m^2_{h^0} \) is turned into \( m^2_{H^\pm} = m^2_{A^0} \), providing the natural frame for a light \( A^0 \) within the 2HDM. Equivalently, the substitution of the CP-even \( H^0 \) for the CP-odd \( A^0 \) can be understood in terms of a twisted CP symmetry acting on the Higgs field. It would therefore be interesting to extend this analysis to the case of nHDM where the arbitrary CP phase is generalized to an \((n-1)\)-by-\((n-1)\) unitary matrix.
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