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ABSTRACT

Tumors of the anus and perianal skin are rare. Their presentation can vary and often mimics common benign anal pathology, thereby delaying diagnosis and appropriate and timely treatment. The anatomy of this region is complex because it represents the progressive transition from the digestive system to the skin with many different co-existing types of cells and tissues. Squamous cell carcinoma of the anal canal is the most frequent tumor found in the anal and perianal region. Less-frequent lesions include Bowen's and Paget's disease, basal cell carcinoma, melanoma, and adenocarcinoma. This article aims to review the clinical presentation, diagnostic evaluation, and treatment options for neoplasms of the anal canal and perianal skin.
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Objectives: On completion of this article, the reader should be able to understand the diagnosis, treatment, and prognosis of tumors of the anal margin and canal.

ANATOMY

Tumors of the anus are infrequent neoplasms of the digestive tract. The most frequent tumor of the anal canal and perianal skin is squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). Although its treatment has not evolved significantly in the last decade, a major breakthrough has been the discovery of human papillomavirus (HPV) infection as a major etiology of the disease and its possible prevention through the use of novel vaccines. Other less common neoplasms of the anal canal and skin are basal cell carcinoma, melanoma, and adenocarcinoma. Bowen’s disease and Paget’s disease are in situ carcinomas and are the precursor lesions of SCC and adenocarcinoma, respectively. The uncommon occurrence, and nonspecific clinical presentation of these lesions, often leads to misdiagnosis and a delay in appropriate treatment. In this article, we review the current knowledge about etiology, classification, diagnosis, and treatment of neoplasms of the anal canal and perianal skin.
line, via the terminal branches of the superior rectal vein into the inferior mesenteric vein and portal system, and below the dentate line via the inferior rectal vein into the pudendal vein passing to the internal iliac vein.

The lymphatic drainage of the upper anal canal and internal anal sphincter drain upwards into the submucosal and intramural lymphatics of the rectum that then drain to perirectal nodes of the mesorectum and ultimately to the inferior mesenteric nodes. The lower anal canal and external anal sphincter lymphatics drain downwards via perianal plexuses into vessels that drain into the external inguinal lymph nodes. The lymphatics of the puborectalis drain into the internal iliac nodes. It must be noted that there is considerable variation in the lymphatic drainage and that there are numerous connections between lymphatics at various levels of the anal canal. 1,3

CLASSIFICATION

Anal Canal Tumors
The current World Health Organization classification of tumors of the anus defines both intraepithelial and invasive tumors. 4 Anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) is considered a precursor to anal SCC and is graded by the same system used for its cervical counterpart. 5 It is defined by the presence of cellular and nuclear abnormalities without a breach of the epithelial basement membrane. Invasive carcinomas are classified as SCC, adenocarcinoma, mucinous adenocarcinoma, small cell carcinoma, and undifferentiated carcinoma.

Anal Margin Tumors
Tumors of the anal margin include squamous cell carcinoma as well as its precursor AIN. AIN 3 is synonymous to carcinoma in situ and was formerly known as Bowen’s disease. Other anal margin tumors include giant condyloma (verrucous carcinoma), basal cell carcinoma, and Paget’s disease. Verrucous carcinoma is a rare variant of squamous cell carcinoma and is also known as the tumor of Buschke-Lowenstein who described it in 1925. Paget’s disease of the anal margin is an intraepithelial adenocarcinoma and is similar to that in the breast.

INCIDENCE

In the United States, the incidence of SCC has increased with 3500 new cases in 2001, 3990 in 2005, and a recent estimate of 4200 cases per year. 6,7 Worldwide, an increasing incidence has also been observed in the last 30 to 40 years, particularly in developed countries. The incidence is estimated between 0.2 to 1.4/100,000 with a slight female predominance. 1,2,7–9 In men who practice anal-receptive sexual intercourse, the incidence of anal cancer is much higher (up to 35 per 100,000) and those who are human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive have twice the risk of those who are not. 10 Other factors strongly associated with anal SCC include the number of sexual partners; coexistence of sexually transmitted diseases; history of cervical, vulvar, or vaginal carcinoma; and use of immunosuppression after solid organ transplantation.

ETIOLOGY

HPV is the most important causative factor in the development of anal SCC. Other predisposing factors include immunosuppression and cigarette smoking. In most cases, anal infection with HPV is sexually transmitted and the risk for cancer is increased in patients with a history of receptive anal intercourse in women and homosexual activity in men. 11 In some cases, a history of receptive anal intercourse is absent suggesting that genital HPV infection causes a field change throughout the perineum. In a process that is identical to cervical intraepithelial neoplasia, HPV causes anal intraepithelial neoplasia that progresses from low-grade to high-grade dysplasia and ultimately to invasive cancer. Once high-grade dysplasia becomes established in the anal canal there is rarely regression of the lesions. Although data on progression to invasive cancer is not available, two studies with follow-up spanning nearly 20 years have shown that ~5% of AIN 3 lesions undergo malignant change. 12,13 Some subtypes of HPV, notoriously type 16 and 18, are strongly associated with malignant transformation. 14 Cell-mediated immunity appears to be important in the host response that prohibits HPV from establishing a prolonged presence. Support for this finding comes from the observation that anal cancer rates are increased in HIV-positive patients and patients that undergo renal transplant where cell-mediated immunity is suppressed. 15,16 Cigarette smoking has been implicated as a risk factor for the development of anal cancer in several case-control studies. The risk is increased 5-fold compared with controls. 17,18 It was considered for many years that chronic irritation of the perineum and anal canal predisposed to development of anal cancer, but it now appears that benign anal lesions are no longer thought to contribute to the development of anal cancer. 11

ANAL CANAL TUMORS

Squamous Cell Carcinoma
Patients typically present with bright red bleeding, pain, and/or a palpable mass. 1,2 SCC of the anal canal can also be a fortuitous finding in up to 20% of cases. 19 When a clinically suspicious lesion is identified, diagnosis relies
Table 1  TNM Anus Staging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor (T)</th>
<th>Regional lymph nodes (N)</th>
<th>Distant metastases (M)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>NX</td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Metastasis in perirectal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>Metastasis in unilateral internal iliac (or/and) unilateral inguinal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>Metastasis in perirectal and inguinal lymph nodes and/or bilateral internal iliac (or/and) inguinal lymph nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td></td>
<td>M0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Edge et al.8

on cytologic or histologic confirmation. The staging system most widely used is the classification endorsed by the American Joint Committee on Cancer, 7th edition, 2010 (Table 1).8 The size of the primary tumor is frequently assessed by clinical examination. It is often necessary to conduct an examination under anesthesia as these patients may present with pain and to ascertain fixation to local structures relaxation of the anal canal musculature is necessary. Indeed, many patients will have been initially treated as having benign anal pathology such as anal fissure or hemorrhoids.

STAGING INVESTIGATIONS
Locoregional staging investigations include endoanal ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the pelvis. These imaging modalities can delineate tumor dimensions and show invasion into the external sphincter and perirectal tissues. The advantage of MRI over CT is its ability to delineate the soft tissue planes more clearly and demonstrate involvement of structures such as the male urethra or the vagina. Anal cancer metastasizes via the lymphatic system and less commonly by the hematogenous route and synchronous inguinal lymph node disease in ~10% of patients.20 Of all patients presenting with palpable inguinal lymphadenopathy, only 50% of these will turn out to be metastatic; it is recommended that all palpable nodes be evaluated by fine-needle aspiration biopsy.21 Most patients (75–90%) have no clinical signs of inguinal metastasis at the time of initial presentation and patients with localized disease that later develop inguinal nodal disease do so in the ipsilateral groin.20 On this background, some have suggested routine sentinel lymph node (SLN) evaluation as a staging technique in patients that do not have palpable nodes. A systematic review of five published series evaluating the outcome of SLN biopsy of nonenlarged inguinal nodes in patients with anal cancer has been published.22 In this review, including 83 patients, the success in identifying the SLN was 90%; however, only 21% of sentinel nodes contained tumor.

Another technique under investigation is the use of positron emission tomography- (PET-) CT to improve detection of nonenlarged positive nodes. A study of 27 patients undergoing initial PET-CT then followed by SLN biopsy in patients with clinically normal inguinal nodes has been reported.23 Here PET-CT suggested inguinal metastasis in 7 patients; however, subsequent histologic evaluation confirmed metastasis in only 3 of the 7 patients, giving the radiologic technique a positive predictive value of 43%. It is remains unclear whether or not elective lymphadenectomy of nodes containing micrometastasis confers advantage over delayed lymphadenectomy performed when inguinal nodes become clinically palpable. At the present time, it is generally accepted that evaluation of groin nodes with biopsy is not made unless they are either clinically palpable or enlarged greater than 10 mm on CT or MRI.24 Systemic staging should also be completed with adequate imaging of the liver and lungs to exclude metastasis.

CHEMORADIATION TREATMENT OF ANAL CANAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA
The historical treatment of anal canal SCC was by abdominoperineal resection of the anal canal and rectum with the formation of an end colostomy. The 5-year survival rate was around 50 to 70%, with involvement of pelvic lymph nodes reducing this to below 20%.25 With the works of Nigro et al in the 1970s, chemoradiotherapy became first-line treatment.26 In the initial randomized study, radiotherapy was combined with 5-FU and mitomycin-based chemotherapy in a neoadjuvant setting and compared with surgery alone. The presence of a complete pathologic response with no residual tumor in several patients led some centers to adopt chemoradiotherapy as definitive treatment. Since the 1970s, other studies have demonstrated the efficacy of the chemoradiotherapy regimen as a curative treatment modality and have also examined methods to reduce local toxicity of the treatment. The large randomized British trial (ACT I) conducted by the United Kingdom Coordinating Committee for
Cancer Research (UKCCCR), compared radiotherapy in combination with 5-FU and mitomycin versus radiotherapy alone, demonstrating improved results in patients receiving chemoradiotherapy. With the chemoradiotherapy regime, complete response is obtained in 70% (64–86%) of patients and the 5-year overall survival rate is 75% (66–92%). Of the true local recurrences, 87% occur during the first 2 years of follow-up. The superiority of chemoradiotherapy regimes using mitomycin versus 5-FU or cisplatin or regimes based on radiotherapy alone have been confirmed by other large trials including the European Organization for Research on Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) group. Recently, published long-term follow-up of the UKCCCR study (median 13 years) have confirmed the initial findings of the superiority of chemoradiotherapy over radiotherapy alone in terms of local control and cancer-related deaths. For every 100 patients treated with chemoradiotherapy, there is an expected 25.3 fewer patients with locoregional relapse and 12.5 fewer anal cancer deaths compared with 100 patients given radiotherapy alone.

Ongoing studies are examining techniques to improve local control with less toxicity. Mitomycin is associated with a 60% incidence of serious (grade 3–4) hematologic, pulmonary, renal toxic events and also hemolytic-uremic syndrome. Cisplatin has also been used in anal canal SCC chemoradiotherapy regimes producing less toxicity than mitomycin with reasonable response rates. The first high-level evidence study, RTOG 98–11, found a higher rate of colostomy formation in the cisplatin group (19% vs 10%) compared with the mitomycin group, suggesting response rates may not be as good. Nevertheless, ongoing phase III studies are currently testing cisplatin, especially as induction chemotherapy before formal chemoradiotherapy (ACT II by UKCCCR; EORTC 22001/400014; and French Federation Nationale des Centres de Lutte Contre le Cancer, FNCLCC ACCORD03-study). Hopefully these studies will define the potential role of cisplatin in the future management of anal canal SCC. In addition, ongoing phase II trials are focusing on treating HIV-positive patients with anti-EGFR agents in an effort to reduce toxicity in this group who are particularly susceptible to complications. Furthermore, a study is currently being conducted by the MD Anderson Cancer Center testing oral capecitabine instead of 5-FU. Therefore, the current recommendation for initial treatment of SCC of the anal canal remains chemoradiotherapy using regimes based on the chemotherapeutic agents, mitomycin and 5-FU.

After initial chemoradiation, SCC regression is slow and thus follow-up should start 6 to 12 weeks after the completion of the treatment. Recommendations for follow-up include digital rectal examination, anoscopy, inguinal lymph node palpation, and thoracoabdominal CT scan, especially for more advanced disease, every 3 to 6 months. Even though digital rectal examination alone might miss early local recurrences, controversy persists concerning the use of multiple random biopsies of normal-appearing tissue versus biopsy limited to suspicious lesion only. Some centers advocate the use of endoanal ultrasound (ERUS) especially three-dimensional (3D) modality to assess the entire full thickness of the anal canal wall.55

SURGERY FOR ANAL CANAL SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA

Although no longer considered the primary treatment modality for anal canal cancer, surgery still has an important role in the treatment strategy. Initial examination under anesthesia and biopsy is required for the full assessment of many tumors. Second, temporary stoma formation (loop colostomy or ileostomy) is required in many cases for patients who cope poorly with the acute toxicity of radiotherapy or are at risk of developing a rectovaginal fistula. Furthermore, patients who have impairment of continence because of sphincter invasion should be defunctioned prior to radiotherapy. Third, surgery for clinically palpable inguinal node disease is often necessary. Finally, surgery is an option for salvage of recurrent or residual primary tumor after treatment with chemoradiotherapy.

TREATMENT OF RECURRENT DISEASE

In patients presenting with suspicious or proven recurrent disease, full staging must be completed using CT and MRI of the chest abdomen and pelvis to assess the extent of disease. When findings from anatomic imaging are inconclusive, PET can be useful to distinguish tumor from treatment-related abnormalities. Biopsy of recurrent or residual disease is mandatory before embarking on radical surgical resection. The classification of residual disease following chemoradiotherapy, and true recurrent disease is somewhat arbitrary, and most studies define residual tumor as disease present within 6 months of chemoradiotherapy and recurrent disease when a tumor is discovered after 6 months. In the majority of patients presenting with recurrent disease the median time to presentation is less than 12 months postchemoradiotherapy.

Following a comprehensive work-up to exclude extrapelvic disease, patients can be counseled about salvage surgery. The goal is to achieve negative margins around the tumor and therefore radical resection is frequently necessary. Most patients will undergo an abdominoperineal excision and permanent colostomy.
with creation of a large pelvic floor defect. Tumors that have invaded local structures such as the vagina or prostate should be resected with clear margins; this often involves multivisceral resection. The use of intraoperative radiotherapy or brachytherapy may improve local recurrence rates following radical resection where there is concern about an incomplete resection or close resection margins.

Most published series of outcomes following salvage surgery for SCC have small numbers of patients and the overall survival rate at 5 years following resection ranges from 30 to 64%.36–42 The most important prognostic factor of survival following resection is the status of the margin and patients with clear margins (R0) have up to a 75% 5-year overall survival rate.42 Further predictors of a poor outcome following surgery are inguinal lymph node status, tumor size greater than 5 cm, adjacent organ involvement, male gender, and higher comorbidities.36–41

In most series, the indication for salvage surgery is the persistence of tumor following chemoradiotherapy.36–42 Interestingly, these patients have a poor outcome following salvage surgery, even when resection is complete with negative margins. The 5-year survival rate following salvage surgery for persistent SCC following chemoradiation is 31 to 33% compared with 51 to 82% in those operated for true recurrence.36,39 It is felt that persistent tumors have a more aggressive tumor biology leading to worse outcomes following salvage surgery. The length of time to recurrence following salvage surgery varies from 1 to 50 months and is seen most commonly locally in 40% patients, in the inguinal node in 30%, and in distant organs in 30%.38,41–43

Salvage surgery is associated with substantial morbidity in up to 72% of patients, due to delayed perineal wound healing, pelvic abscess, perineal wound hernia, urinary retention, and impotence.44 The poor perineal wound healing is as a result of the large defect created to fully excise these low tumors combined with prior radiotherapy received. Primary closure alone produces poor results when not combined with some type of flap procedure. In a series of 22 undergoing salvage APR treated with primary closure, 59% had perineal wound breakdown, 1 patient needed a reconstructive operation.43 Commonly used tissue flaps include the pedicled omental flap and the vertical rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap (VRAM). In 95 patients undergoing salvage APR, a comparison of pedicled omental flap to VRAM found less perineal wound complications and faster healing when the VRAM was used.44 There was no difference in abdominal wall hernia, but less perineal hernia after using VRAM compared with the pedicled omental flap. In another series of 18 patients, the perineal wound breakdown rate was 36% in patients undergoing omental flap reconstruction versus 0% in patients having their perineal floor reconstructed with VRAM flap following salvage APR.37 A final series of 48 patients undergoing salvage APR reported no delayed wound healing or infectious complications when a VRAM flap was used.42 Therefore, in patients that undergo salvage surgery for persistent or recurrent SCC of the anal canal to reduce the complications of delayed perineal wound closure created by radiation and radical surgery, a surgical strategy of using a VRAM flap provides the optimal defect closure with lowest complications.

In summary, salvage surgery is the treatment of choice for residual and recurrent tumors in patients with failed primary chemoradiotherapy of anal canal SCC. A substantial portion of patients will be cured of their disease and outcomes can be optimized by careful selection of patients that will have negative margins following radical surgery.

Adenocarcinoma

Adenocarcinoma of the anal canal accounts for 3 to 9% of all anal canal neoplasms.1,45 Differentiating true anal canal adenocarcinoma from low rectal adenocarcinoma can be challenging. Most anal canal adenocarcinomas originate from anal glands, but cases developing in chronic tracts from fistula in ano are described.45 Multiple factors, including infection with HPV and HIV, history of receptive anal intercourse, smoking, and immunosuppression have been identified as risk factors. Clinical features include anal pain, induration of the anal canal, or abscess formation and a palpable lump. Evidence for treatment recommendations is based on small series and extrapolation from experience treating low rectal adenocarcinoma. Wide local excision can be performed for small well-differentiated tumors; however, APR in combination with neoadjuvant chemoradiation should be used for lesions greater than 2 cm in size (T2).36,47 Reported disease-free 5-year survival varies from 21 to 58% according to the treatment modality and local recurrences rates range from 20 to 37% at 4 years.48,49

ANAL MARGIN TUMORS

Paget’s Disease

Paget’s disease was initially described in association with breast carcinoma. Extramammary Paget’s disease can be found in various locations, including the anogenital region, where apocrine glands are found. Median age of presentation is 60 years and patients typically complain of anal pruritus, bleeding, and discharge. Macroscopically, anal margin Paget’s disease presents as an erythematous and eczematous rash similar to benign skin conditions or other perianal diseases such as Bowen’s disease, hidradenitis suppurativa, pruritus ani, or
Crohn’s disease.\textsuperscript{1,2} Diagnosis should be based on skin biopsies. Histologically, Paget’s disease is commonly described as an intraepithelial adenocarcinoma characterized by presence of typical Paget cells, appearing as large rounded vacuolated cells.

Approximately 50\% of patients with anal margin Paget’s disease harbor a colorectal neoplasm mandating full colonoscopy for complete evaluation.\textsuperscript{50} Full assessment of the patient includes random mapping biopsies of the entire anal margin to evaluate superficial spread of disease that is often macroscopically not evident.\textsuperscript{1,51} Some advocate the use of preoperative biopsies performed under local anesthesia.\textsuperscript{7} In that way, pathologic diagnostic uncertainties that might occur on intraoperative frozen sections will be avoided. Preoperative planning of surgical resection margins should allow for a 1-cm rim of normal tissue and histologic analysis with immunostaining gives reliable information.\textsuperscript{52} Once the extent of Paget’s disease has been clearly demarcated, wide local excision is the procedure of choice.\textsuperscript{1,2,51,53–55} The skin defect can sometimes be too large for primary wound closure and myocutaneous or cutaneous flaps will be necessary. In a series of 27 patients, the recurrence rate after WLE was 30\%,\textsuperscript{53} An invasive component was present in 44\% of the patients, which was associated with poor prognosis. Chemoradiotherapy was used in 6 of 27 patients and 6 of 27 patients required a colostomy. The overall and disease-free survival rate at 5 years was 59\% and 64\%, respectively. In the Mayo Clinic series, the recurrence rate was also high with 61\% at 5 years and an overall 5-year survival rate was 67\%. The authors concluded that anal margin Paget’s disease, despite high local recurrence rate, was not a systemically aggressive disease. This high recurrence rate and the high incidence of associated neoplasm in a patient with Paget’s disease should nevertheless prompt vigilant long-term follow-up.\textsuperscript{1,56,57} When extensive locally invasive lesions, or a synchronous anorectal adenocarcinoma, are discovered, APR is indicated and the patient should receive neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy to optimize outcome.\textsuperscript{1,51}

Functional outcomes, especially in patients who needed repair of large perineal skin defects after wide excision, must be carefully considered. In a single study, overall quality of life (QOL) was similar to the normative population although a large proportion of patients (9 of 14) complained of some form of incontinence that impacted the gastrointestinal aspects of their QOL.\textsuperscript{58} In an effort to limit radical resection for anal Paget’s disease, noninvasive treatments have been proposed with encouraging results and including photodynamic therapy, radiation therapy, systemic and topical chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{5,45,59,60}

Bowen’s Disease
Bowen’s disease is synonymous with AIN 3 and when demonstrated on pathology indicates carcinoma in situ. The causative agent is HPV, but only the 16 and 18 HPV genotypes are associated with high-grade AIN.\textsuperscript{1,2,64,65} Patients with anal margin Bowen’s disease typically present with minor symptoms, such as burning or pruritus. Up to a third of the patients complain of a mass or bleeding lesion.\textsuperscript{62} Clinically, Bowen’s disease presents as discrete, erythematous, occasionally brown-red pigmented, noninfiltrating, scaly, or crusted plaques, which sometimes have a moist surface or even nodules. Differential diagnosis may be extensive and confusion with different benign dermatologic conditions like psoriasis, eczema, or leukoplakia is common.\textsuperscript{1,2} The standard treatment is wide surgical excision.\textsuperscript{63} To ensure clear resection margins, a systematic four-quadrant biopsy technique, with intraoperative frozen sections has been advocated.\textsuperscript{12} The frozen sections should include intra-anal biopsies. Despite use of this technique, recurrence rates up to 30\% have been reported.\textsuperscript{12,57} The major disadvantage of wide local excision is the difficulty to primarily close the wound and skin flaps may be necessary. The rotational v-y skin flap has been most frequently described in this setting.\textsuperscript{4} When surgery is not feasible or refused, other options are available such as topical chemotherapy (5-FU), immunomodulation (imiquimod), and phototherapy, although the latest guidelines favor radiotherapy.\textsuperscript{63}

Squamous Cell Carcinoma
SCC of the anal margin is less common than anal canal SCC, but is the most frequent tumor of the anal margin. Bulky advanced tumors of the anal margin sometimes directly invade the canal making definitive diagnosis of origin difficult. In these circumstances, treatment is often designed similar to that for tumors of anal canal origin. In contrast, tumors that are limited to the anal margin will be treated similar to cutaneous SCC elsewhere on the body.\textsuperscript{1,2,64} Clinical presentations include pain, bleeding, palpable lump, and discharge. Typically, SCC of the anal margin appears as an ulcerated lesion with rolled everted edges. A significant number of patients are misdiagnosed with an anal fissure, fistula, eczema, or hemorrhoids; therefore a biopsy is recommended for any persistent anal margin lesion not responding to conservative therapy.\textsuperscript{1,2} When histology is obtained, precise staging will allow categorization for treatment recommendations and prognosis. The staging system is analogous to cutaneous SCC.\textsuperscript{65} As a first step, a digital rectal exam sometimes in the setting of EUA, will define the extent of local disease. The groin should always be examined looking for enlarged or suspicious inguinal lymph nodes. The incidence of metastatic lymph nodes is related to tumor size with 0\% in tumors less than 2 cm, 23\% of tumors 2 to 5 cm and 67\% of tumors greater than 5 cm.\textsuperscript{66}
Wide local excision (WLE) is adequate for favorable lesions analogous to resection of cutaneous SCC of other regions of the body. Favorable tumors are well-differentiated T1 (<2 cm) or T2 tumors for which a minimal negative margin of 1 cm can be obtained without compromising the anal sphincter. Approximately 60% of all anal margin tumors are amenable to treatment with local excision. The same subgroup of patients can be treated equally successfully with either local excision or radiotherapy. Patients that are treated initially with local excision can subsequently receive radiotherapy with good results if margins are positive or close. In a series of 45 patients with mainly T1–2 anal margin SCC, 65% underwent local excision that was then followed by radiotherapy producing a 5-year disease-free survival rate of 86%. Almost half of this group had close or positive surgical margins following local excision. An additional 26 patients with mainly T2 tumors treated with local excision and radiotherapy, or radiotherapy alone, had a 5-year survival rate of 88.3%. Seven patients had a local recurrence that was successfully treated with abdominoperineal excision in five cases. Therefore, it would seem sensible that early T1 and T2 lesions can be safely treated with local excision. If there is concern about the excision margins, then radiotherapy can be added to produce a similar outcome. If a large skin defect persists after excision it can be covered by a rotational skin flap or a split skin graft.

For patients with larger tumors, nodal involvement, or invasion of the sphincter muscle, treatment with chemoradiotherapy is preferred. In cases of unfavorable SCC (poorly differentiated, large Bulky tumors), more aggressive abdominoperineal resection does not surpass WLE in terms of local and distal control with local recurrences after APR reported as high as 63%. For these lesions chemoradiotherapy, similar to the regimen used for anal canal SCC, is the appropriate treatment providing local disease control ranging from 60 to 100%. Inguinal nodes should always be part of the irradiation field for unfavorable tumors because of the risk of metastasis. Prophylactic inguinal irradiation is well tolerated and omission of groin irradiation can lead to regional or distal recurrence. For persisting tumor following chemoradiation or where disease locally recurs, salvage surgery achieves good local control with acceptable survival.

**Basal Cell Carcinoma**

Basal cell carcinoma (BCC) of the anal margin is extremely rare, accounting for 0.2% of all anorectal neoplasms. Of all cutaneous BCC, 0.27% are localized to the anal margin and genital area. The etiology is unclear because sun exposure is less likely to be reported by these patients. One important characteristic is the frequent association with other skin lesions and the diagnosis should always prompt a thorough clinical examination. Most patients are men in their sixties, and lesions are usually less than 2 cm. The risk of distant spread is very low. Wide local excision, with skin grafting if necessary, is the treatment of choice and has good long-term results. In the two largest series available, recurrence occurred in 0 to 29% of patients and the 5-year cancer-specific survival rate was 100%. Deep invasion of the anal canal may mandate abdominoperineal resection, but this is an extremely unusual finding. Local recurrence can be treated by either reexcision or radiotherapy.

**Melanoma**

Malignant melanoma of the anal margin is a rare condition and accounts for 2 to 4% of all malignant anorectal neoplasms. It is the third most common site after skin and the eye and represents 0.6 to 1.6% of all melanomas. Symptoms are nonspecific with bleeding, pain, and mass being commonly reported. When a lesion is pigmented, melanoma is often suspected although confusion with thrombosed hemorrhoids is reported. A melanotic lesions consist of 30% of the lesions and are more difficult to recognize, their diagnosis depends on demonstration of melanin pigment by immunohistochemistry.

The surgical treatment of anal melanoma is typically wide local excision unless patients have extensive sphincter involvement and are incontinent. Overall prognosis is poor no matter the surgical approach and efforts to improve survival with radical resection, including APR, have not shown benefit. Data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database confirms that the majority of these lesions are locally excised in U.S. centers. In this large series of 183 patients, median age at diagnosis was 68 years. Median survival rate for anal melanoma was independent of mode of surgical excision: 16 months for APR and 18 months for local excision. Moreover, the 5-year survival rate was similar in the two groups: 16.8% for APR and 19.3% for local excision. The absence of benefit of radical excision has been confirmed by other retrospective series and meta-analysis. Data from Memorial Sloan-Kettering has shown no difference between local excision and APR reporting a disease-specific survival rate of ~30% in both groups. The majority of patients in this series experienced distant metastatic disease: 13 with distant metastasis, 9 with both distant and locoregional, and 12 with locoregional recurrence. The authors hypothesize that systemic dissemination is an early event in the natural course of the disease and thus efforts should be focused on multimodal treatment.
particularly bulky or invading the sphincter — here APR remains a viable option not only for local tumor control but for quality of life.1,19

CONCLUSION

Neoplasms of the anal canal and skin are uncommon and few physicians will be exposed to these lesions. Diagnosis and management is challenging in that signs and symptoms of neoplastic lesions often mimic benign conditions. This, coupled with many patients’ reluctance to see a physician for anorectal complaints, may lead to misdiagnosis and delays in appropriate treatment. A thorough history targeted at risk factors, combined with a detailed examination under anesthesia, which includes biopsy, are necessary for correct diagnosis and planning for treatment of these tumors. Early diagnosis and appropriate oncologic directed intervention can lead to favorable outcomes in many patients.
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