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ABSTRACT

Which laparoscope positioner is the most efficient? Simple question but still no accurate answer, nearly twenty years after the introduction of AESOP, the first robot that could hold and move the endoscope and camera used in minimally invasive laparoscopic surgery. This work establishes a standardized methodology to measure the motion performance of endoscope holders, and assesses the influence of kinematics and number of controllable directions on the performance of existing solutions.
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1 Background and Purpose of the Work
Metrics and methodologies to measure the performance of industrial robots are defined by international standards (e.g. ISO 8373, ISO 9283). Unfortunately, no such regulation is established yet for surgical robots. In medicine, a common way to estimate the performance of a new device is to carry out a small clinical study. Most laparoscope positioners (e.g. AESOP, EndoAssist, FreeHand, LapMan, and ViKY) were introduced by means of such studies. Authors report that these devices can actually replace the assistant, with several advantages over manual holding: more stable image, fewer contacts between lens and organs that require a cleaning, less fatigue for the surgeon, less strain for the assistant, etc. These studies in real conditions are sufficient for a proof of concept, but are not very reproducible since operation duration—the most common metric—is affected by many external factors. A couple of studies compared the motion performance of AESOP and EndoAssist in a more quantitative way, using a benchtop experiment that is more controllable than a series of real surgical procedures. However, in these studies, robots were analyzed as whole devices. It is therefore difficult to find out why a robot performs better than the other in terms of motion duration to reach a target. It could be thanks to a more intuitive and fast-reacting interface, or just because the preprogrammed velocity is set at a higher level.

Yet, it is desirable to identify the influence of specific characteristics of laparoscope positioners on their performance. In particular, one can anticipate that two factors play a major role: (1) the control interface, which could be provided with more directions than the commonly available ‘Up/Down’, ‘Left/Right’ and ‘In/Out’, and (2) the robot kinematics that defines how the intra-abdominal image is shifted in response to the surgeon’s orders. To measure the influence of only these two factors on motion performance, accuracy, and intuitiveness, we pushed the standardization further.

2 Experimental Methodology and Results
We used the EVOLAP robot developed at UCL [1], upgraded with a laparoscope rotation device and programmed to mimic the kinematic behavior of existing devices. An omni-directional joystick was also used, whose output signals could be post-processed to restrict motion in specific directions. This permits to exclude all uncontrollable sources of variability between different devices.

Twelve surgeons were recruited for this study. Each surgeon performed a camera displacement task with 9 combinations of kinematics and number of controllable directions in random order. Time required to perform the task was recorded to assess the motion performance. Results show that kinematics has a large influence on motion duration and on intuitiveness. It also appears that performance and perceived efficiency are increased significantly with an interface that provides more controllable directions than usually available.
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